



Republic of the Philippines

National Economic and Development Authority
Region IV-A (Calabarzon)

MEMORANDUM

FOR : **OIC-DIRECTOR JOSEPH T. LALOG**
Financial Planning and Management Staff

SUBJECT : **Submission of NEDA Region IV-A 2020 Monthly Physical Report of Operations**

DATE : September 4, 2020

This is to submit the attached NRO IV-A 2020 Physical Report of Operation for the month of August.

For consideration. Thank you and best regards.


LUIS G. BANUA
Regional Director

FAD/mec

2020 MONTHLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION
Details of Accomplishment
Template

STAFF / REGIONAL OFFICE: NRO IV-A

Period covered by the Report: August 2020

SOCIOECONOMIC POLICY AND PLANNING PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Percentage of policy recommendations adopted

Definition:

- This refers to the proportion of policy recommendations that were adopted by decision-makers – The President, the Cabinet, and the Congress. Policies refer to government decisions, courses of action, and positions on various concerns relating to NEDA’s mandate that are issued to respond to specific problems or concerns. Policies can be in the form of official pronouncement or statements, administrative orders, memorandum circulars, board resolutions, implementing rules and regulations, and other related issuances. The term “adopted” refers to acceptance of policy recommendation, as manifested by its inclusion or incorporation in a policy issuance.
- This indicator measures the quality of analyses of development issues and policy alternatives provided to said decision makers.

Means of Verification:

- Analysis of the following documents against policy recommendations provided: [proposed laws](#), [enacted laws](#) and their implementing rules and regulations; executive issuances (circular, orders, official pronouncement or statements, board resolutions, [memoranda for the President](#), [policy briefs/notes](#), and other related issuances)

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Policy recommendations approved by the Secretary
- Computed as = (Total number of policy recommendations adopted by decision makers / Total number of policy recommendations prepared and reviewed) x 100

2020 target: 85.7%; Quarterly target: 85.7%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs concerned)

Note: A data field for reporting actual accomplishment relative to the *outcome indicator* “Percentage of policy recommendations adopted” is already incorporated in the table/matrix for reporting accomplishment relative to the *output indicator* “Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion”.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2

Percentage of agenda items related to the Plans for NEDA Board Committees where NEDA is the secretariat

Definition:

- This refers to the percentage share of Plan-related concerns' inclusion as agenda items to the total number of agenda items developed by NEDA as Secretariat and adopted by the NEDA Board Committees (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP).
- Plans refer to the following, among others: Philippine Development Plan (PDP), Regional Development Plans (RDP), Area Spatial Development Framework (ASDF) plans, Regional Spatial Development Framework (RDSF) plans, National Physical Framework Plan (NPPF), National Priority Plan (NPP), and other plans that NEDA is tasked to prepare in accordance with pronouncements and priorities of the President, laws, rules and regulations, and executive issuances in the future.

Means of Verification:

- Analysis of the following documents: NEDA Board Committees' resolution, minutes of meetings of NEDA Board Committees and its Other Committees (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP)

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
- Computed as = (Total number of Plan-related concerns included as agenda items / Total number of agenda items adopted by NEDA Board Committees) x 100

2020 Target: 93%; Quarterly target: 93%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs)

LIST OF PLAN-RELATED CONCERNS INCLUDED AS AGENDA ITEMS	NAME OF NEDA BOARD COMMITTEE (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP) (e.g. SDC, INFRACOM)	DATE OF MEETING	LIST OF AGENDA ITEMS ADOPTED BY NEDA BOARD COMMITTEES (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP)	REMARKS
1. CALABARZON Regional Development Council (RDC) Revised Project Endorsement Guidelines	CALABARZON RDC Private Sector Representatives (PSRs) (3 rd Quarter 2020 Coordination Meeting)	August 20, 2020 (Thursday), 9:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. via Zoom Video Conference	Revised Project Endorsement Guidelines	
2. RDC Project Endorsement Guidelines2.	SCMDA	August 11	RDC Project Endorsement Guidelines	

3. Regional SDG Indicators	SCMDA	August 11	Regional SDG Indicators	
4. 2019 RDR Supplemental Guidelines for SDG Report3.	SCMDA	August 11	2019 RDR Supplemental Guidelines for SDG Report	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

- a. NEDA Board
- b. NEDA Board Committees:
 1. Social Development Committee
 2. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters
 3. National Land Use Committee
 4. Regional Development Committee
- c. Other Inter-Agency Committees
- d. Regional Development Councils

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This refers to the average satisfaction rating of members of NEDA Board, Social Development Committee (SDC), Committee on Tariff and Related Matters (CTRM), National Land Use Committee (NLUC), Infrastructure Committee (INFRACOM), Regional Development Committee (RDCom), other Inter-Agency-Committees (IACs), and Regional Development Councils (RDCs) with the completeness and accuracy of meeting documents prepared by the NEDA Secretariat. This indicator measures quality of NEDA's technical secretariat services provided, specifically on setting the agenda and preparation of meeting documents (highlights of meetings, presentation materials, etcetera). <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accomplished surveys/ questionnaires / evaluation sheets <p>Monitoring mechanism:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reports during the next NEDA Board, SDC, INFRACOM, CTRM, NLUC, Other IAC, RDCom and RDC Meetings Computed as average of all client satisfaction rating of members per meeting

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
NEDA Board (c/o LS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
NEDA Board Committees:			
1. Social Development Committee (c/o)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very		

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
SDS)	Satisfactory) average rating		
2. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters (c/o TSIS)	At least a 2.5/5 or 50% (Satisfactory) average rating		
3. National Land Use Committee (c/o RDS)	At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating		
4. Regional Development Committee (c/o RDS)	At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating		
Other Inter-Agency Committees	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
a. Philippine Council for Sustainable Development (c/o ANRES)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
b. Mining Industry Coordinating Council (c/o ANRES)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
c. MC-IHDC (c/o SDS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
d. IAC on Trade in Services (c/o TSIS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
e. EDC (c/o TSIS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
f. IAC-DA (c/o GovS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
g. FSC on Rice (c/o ANRES)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
h. SC-IMD (c/o NPPS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
i. TWG-Macro (c/o NPPS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
j. TWG-EG	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
Regional Development Councils	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
1. RDC CAR (c/o NRO CAR)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
2. RDC I (c/o NRO I)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
3. RDC II (c/o NRO II)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
4. RDC III (c/o NRO III)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
5. RDC IV-A (c/o NRO IV-A)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very		

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
	Satisfactory) average rating		
6. RDC MIMAROPA (c/o NRO MIMAROPA)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
7. RDC V (c/o NRO V)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
8. RDC VI (c/o NRO VI)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
9. RDC VII (c/o NRO VII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
10. RDC VIII (c/o NRO VIII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
11. RDC IX (c/o NRO IX)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
12. RDC X (c/o NRO X)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
13. RDC XI (c/o NRO XI)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
14. RDC XII (c/o NRO XII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
15. RDC XIII (c/o NRO XIII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE/
COUNCIL:

DATE OF MEETING	NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL MEMBER	SATISFACTION RATING GIVEN BY MEMBER	Remarks
August 5, 2020	Committee on Migration and Development (35)	4.62	Via Zoom
August 6, 2020	Regional Project Monitoring Committee (7)	4.63	Via Zoom
August 12, 2020	Sectoral Committee on Social Development/Social Protection (36)	4.68	Via Zoom
August 13, 2020	Sectoral Committee on Economic Development (29)	4.66	Via Zoom
August 14, 2020	Sectoral Committee on Infrastructure Dev't. (25)	4.63	Via Zoom
August 26, 2020	Special Regional Committee on SDGs (48)	4.71	Via Zoom
August 11, 2020	SCMDA (31)	4.57 (Outstanding)	Via Zoom
August 19, 2020	Regional Research & Innovation Committee (19)	4.66 (Outstanding)	Via Zoom

August 20, 2020	PSRs (16)	Evaluation and rating are not yet final; need more responders for the evaluation survey	Via Zoom
	Count of Members:	Sum of Satisfaction Ratings: 37.16 Average Satisfaction Rating: 4.64	

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion

Definition:

- This refers to the proportion of total number of requests that were reviewed within 10 working days from date of receipt or within the date indicated by requesting party.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of conduct of short-term policy reviews. An increase of this indicator is a sign of progress on the provision of timely analyses of development issues and policy alternatives to decision-makers – the President, the Cabinet, and the Congress.

Means of verification:

- NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine date of acceptance of request and release of response; and
- Document analysis

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
- Computed as = (Total number of policy recommendations prepared/ reviewed within required date and/or time of completion / Total number of policy recommendations prepared and reviewed) x 100

2020 target: 97%; Quarterly target: 97%

External Request (c/o all Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF POLICY REQUESTS (Specify the policies requested for review)	REQUESTING PARTY (Senate/ HOR/ NEDA Board Committees/ RDCs, etc.)	DATE RECEIVE D BY NEDA	DEADLINE OF REQUEST	ACTUAL DATE OF SUBMISSION	PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION (Y for Yes and N for No)	ADOPTED BY DECISION MAKERS (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/ reviewing policy requests within required date and/or time of completion / reason why policy recommendations were not adopted by decision makers</i>
1.							
2.							
3.							

TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED AND REVIEWED:					TOTAL NO. OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN THE REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION =	TOTAL NO. OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS ADOPTED BY DECISION MAKERS =	
--	--	--	--	--	---	---	--

Internal Request (c/o all Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF POLICY REQUESTS (Specify the policies requested for review)	REQUESTING PARTY (Staffs/NROs, e.g. SDS, NRO 3, etc.)	PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/reviewing policy requests within required date and/or time of completion</i>
1.			
2.			
3.			
TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED AND REVIEWED:		TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN THE REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION:	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Number of plans prepared/updated and submitted within schedule to NEDA Board, RDCom, NLUC, RDCs, and/or Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning, respectively, for approval

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This refers to the count of planning documents prepared/ updated, and submitted within schedule to the NEDA Board, Regional Development Committee (RDCom), National Land Use Committee (NLUC), Regional Development Councils (RDCs), and/or the Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning. This indicator measures the timeliness of preparation/ updating, and submission of planning documents that serve as guide in the formulation and implementation of policies, programs and projects. Plans refer to the following, among others: Philippine Development Plan (PDP), Regional Development Plans (RDP), Area Spatial Development Framework (ASDF) plans, Regional Spatial Development Framework (RDSF) plans, National Physical Framework Plan (NPPF), National Priority Plan (NPP), and other plans that NEDA is tasked to prepare in accordance with pronouncements and priorities of the President, laws, rules and regulations, and executive issuances in the future. <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analysis of the following documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NEDA Board resolutions RDC resolutions Minutes of meetings of NEDA Board and its Committees, and Technical Committee on the NPP, RDCs and its Committees Planning Guidelines and schedules for the preparation of plans
--

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 Target: 17 total (1 Results Matrices [RM], 7 RDPs, 2 RSDFs, 6 RPFs, 1 NPP)

NAME OF PLANNING DOCUMENT	TARGET NUMBER OF PLANS PREPARED/UPDATED	DATE OF SUBMISSION/PRESENTATION TO SECRETARY OF SOCIOECONOMIC PLANNING/NEDA BOARD/ RDCom/ NLUC/RDCs	PLANS PREPARED/UPDATED AND FINALIZED WITHIN SCHEDULE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not submitting/presenting the plans within schedule</i>
1. Results Matrices (RM) Compendium (c/o MES)	1 updated RM compendium by 1st Quarter			
2. Regional Development Plans (RDPs) (c/o NROs 1, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, CARAGA)	7 RDPs by 2nd Quarter			
3. Regional Spatial Development Frameworks (RSDFs) (c/o NROs 11, 12)	2 RSDFs by 4th Quarter			
4. Regional Physical Framework Plan (RFPF) (c/o NROs CAR, 3, 5, 7, 8, CARAGA)	6 RPFs by 4th Quarter			
5. National Priority Plan (NPP) (c/o SDS)	1 NPP by 1st Quarter			
TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS PREPARED: 17		TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS SUBMITTED/PRESENTED WITHIN SCHEDULE =		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Number of economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period

Definition:

- This refers to the count of the following economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period (i.e., month, quarter, semester, and/or year): (a) monthly report on Trade, (b) monthly report on Consumer Price Index, (c) monthly Integrated Survey of Selected Industries, (e) quarterly report on National Accounts, (f) quarterly report on Labor and Employment, (g) annual report on Poverty Statistics.
- This indicator measures the timeliness dimension of preparation of economic reports. An increase of this indicator is a sign of progress on the provision of timely economic information.

Means of verification:

- NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine date of acceptance of request and release of response; and

- Document analysis

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Officer Performance Commitments.

2020 Target: 44

NAME OF ECONOMIC REPORT:	TARGET					ACCOMPLISHMENT					PREPARED AND SUBMITTED ON OR BEFORE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing the reports within the prescribed period</i>
	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
a. National Accounts (c/o NPPS)	4	1	1	1	1							
b. Trade (c/o NPPS)	12	3	3	3	3							
c. Consumer Price Index (c/o NPPS)	12	3	3	3	3							
d. Labor and Employment (c/o NPPS)	4	1	1	1	1							
e. Monthly Integrated Survey of Selected Industries (c/o TSIS)	12	3	3	3	3							
TOTAL NUMBER OF ECONOMIC REPORTS =	44	11	11	11	11							NUMBER OF ECONOMIC REPORTS PREPARED ON OR BEFORE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS=

NAME OF REPORT	PERIOD COVERED	DATE OF PREPARATION	DATE OF RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS
2Q 2020 Regional Economic Situationer (RES)	April to June 2020	August 27, 2020	September 10, 2020

NATIONAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMMING PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

- a. Investment Coordination Committee
- b. Infrastructure Committee
- c. Other Inter-Agency Committees

Definition:

- This refers to the average satisfaction rating of members of Investment Coordination Committee (ICC) and Infrastructure Committee (INFRACOM) with the completeness and accuracy of meeting documents prepared by NEDA Secretariat.
- This indicator measures quality of NEDA’s technical secretariat services provided, specifically the preparation of meeting documents (memorandum, evaluation report, presentation materials, letters, minutes, among others).

Means of verification:

- Accomplished surveys/questionnaires/ [evaluation sheets](#)

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reporting during ICC and INFRACOM meetings

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
Investment Coordination Committee (c/o PIS)	At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating		
Infrastructure Committee (c/o IS)	At least a 5/5 or 100% (Outstanding) average rating		
Other Inter-Agency Committees	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
1. NPMC (c/o MES)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
2. Inter-agency Committee on Project Management and Monitoring (IACPMM)/ PIO System (c/o MES)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
3. IATCP (c/o IS)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		

4. INFRACOM-SCWR (c/o IS)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
---------------------------	--	--	--

NAME OF COMMITTEE: _____

DATE OF MEETING	NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL MEMBER	RATING GIVEN BY MEMBER	Remarks
	Count of Members:	Sum of Satisfaction Ratings:	
		Average Satisfaction Rating:	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of programs and projects approved by the ICC included in the PIP

Definition:

- This pertains to the proportion of programs and projects approved by the ICC which are included in the Public Investment Program (document).
- This indicator measures the responsiveness of programs and projects to the priorities of Government. An increase of this indicator is a sign that programs and projects approved by the ICC are aligned with the priorities of the PDP/RM.
- Computed as = (Total number of programs and projects included in the PIP / Total number of programs and projects approved by the ICC) x 100

Means of verification:

- Analysis of the following documents:
- PIP document
- List of ICC-approved programs and projects

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reports during NEDA Board and ICC meetings
- Accomplishments integrated in the Office Performance Commitments
-

2020 target: 90%; Quarterly target: 90%

(c/o PIS)

PROGRAM/ PROJECT APPROVED BY THE ICC	DATE OF APPROVAL BY THE ICC	INCLUDED IN THE PIP (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not evaluating projects within target deadline</i>
1.			
2.			
3.			
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY THE ICC		TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY ICC THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THE PIP:	

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Number of annual/medium-term public investment program documents prepared/updated and submitted every end of the year to the concerned inter-agency bodies for appropriate action

- a. PIP
- b. TRIP
- c. RDIP
- d. AIP

Definition:

- This pertains to the number of PIP documents prepared/updated every end of the year.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of formulation/ updating of PIP documents that serve as guide for efficient resource allocation toward priority public investments.

Means of Verification:

- Published PIP, CIIP, TRIP (i.e., printing and/or publication in the NEDA website)
- Posting of RDIP and AIP in the NRO website
- Analysis of the resolutions/ minutes of meetings of the following: NEDA Board, INFRACOM, and RDCs

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 17

NAME OF PUBLIC INVESTMENT PROGRAM DOCUMENT	TARGET NUMBER OF PIP DOCUMENTS PREPARED	DATE OF SUBMISSION TO CONCERNED INTER-AGENCY BODIES	DOCUMENTS PREPARED/UPDATED AND SUBMITTED WITHIN THE YEAR (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/updating and submitting PIP documents within schedule</i>
1. Public Investment Program (PIP) (c/o PIS) 2. Three-Year Rolling Infrastructure Program (c/o IS) 3. Regional Development Investment Programs (RDIPs) (c/o NROs)	1 PIP by 1 st Quarter 1 TRIP by 1 st Quarter 15 draft updated RDIPs by 2 nd Quarter			Updated RDIP is targeted to be completed in 4Q 2020 to serve as inputs to FY 2022 RDC Technical Budget Review in February 2021.
TOTAL NUMBER OF PIP DOCUMENTS PREPARED: 17		TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS SUBMITTED/PRESENTED WITHIN THE YEAR = 1		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of projects appraised within target deadline

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This pertains to the proportion of projects appraised within target deadline (e.g. projects costing Php 2.5 billion and above and with complete requirements appraised within 6 weeks and presented to the ICC-TB for deliberation). <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analysis of the minutes of the meetings NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine dates of receipt of project proposal and release of project evaluation reports. <p>Monitoring Mechanism:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 90%; Quarterly target: 90%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF PROJECT	PROPONENT AGENCY	DATE OF RECEIPT OF PROJECT PROPOSAL	DATE OF COMPLETION OF APPRAISAL	APPRAISED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not evaluating projects within target deadline</i>
1. Laguna Lakeshore Road Network Project Phase 1 (LLRN)	DPWH-UPMO-RMC	July 24, 2020	August 11, 2020	Y	SCID endorsed the project to RDC. RDC meeting will be on September 10, 2020.
2. Philippines-Israel Solar Fertigation Project	DA	August 4, 2020	August 26, 2020	Y	Target completion is September 1 (20 WD) but was 3WD ahead of the target.
3.					
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECTS APPRAISED:					TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECTS APPRAISED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE: 2

* Refers to projects with complete submission of documents/information from proponent agencies. Only report projects that completed the appraisal during the period.

NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Adoption of Socio-Economic Report (SER) as basis for Budget Priorities Framework

Definition:

- This refers to the adoption of SER for the preparation of the Budget Priorities Framework.
- This indicator measures the improvement of formulating budget priorities based on lessons learned from implementation of the Philippine Development plan.

Means of verification:

- Analysis of SER and Budget Priorities Framework

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Analysis of SER and Budget Priorities Framework

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ADOPTED AS BASIS FOR BUDGET PRIORITIES FRAMEWORK (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
Socio-Economic Report (SER) (c/o NPPS)	The PDP Midterm Update will be adopted for BPF		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of requests for monitoring and evaluation (M&E) information for policy and decision-making made readily available to policy-makers and various stakeholders within prescribed period

Definition:

- This indicator measures the quality of NEDA's M&E services, specifically the preparation of documents/ communications providing M&E information to policy makers and various stakeholders (e.g. OP, PSA, DOF, COA, Congress, researchers, academe, government implementing agencies, providers of development cooperation, etc.)
- Computed as = (Total number of M&E Information made readily available to policy makers and various stakeholders within prescribed time / Total number of M&E requests) x 100

Means of verification:

- Document tracking system to determine date of receipt of request and release of response.

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the SPMS forms

2020 target: 100%; Quarterly target: 100%

(c/o MES and NROs)

NAME OF REQUEST FOR M&E INFORMATION	REQUESTING PARTY (EXTERNAL)	DATE OF RECEIPT OF REQUEST	DATE OF RELEASE	MADE READILY AVAILABLE WITHIN PRESCRIBED TIME (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
1.					
2.					
TOTAL NUMBER OF M&E REQUESTS RECEIVED				TOTAL NUMBER M&E INFORMATION MADE READILY AVAILABLE TO POLICY-MAKERS AND VARIOUS STAKEHOLDERS WITHIN PRESCRIBED TIME =	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Percentage of agencies with problematic projects alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues

Definition:

- This indicator measures the assistance provided by NEDA to facilitate project implementation through the conduct of problem-solving sessions, one-on-one agency consultations, field validation visits, project steering committee meetings, project implementation reviews with development providers, and major fora such as regular PIO meetings, NPMC meetings, and RPMES fora.
- Computed as = (Total number of agencies alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues / Total number of agencies with problematic projects) x 100

Means of verification:

- Communications, follow-ups, field validation reports, [Problem-Solving Sessions \(PSS\)](#), and minutes of meetings with agencies

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the SPMS forms.
- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA.

2020 Target: 100% of agencies with problematic projects per quarter

(c/o MES and NROs)

NAME OF AGENCY WITH PROBLEMATIC PROJECTS	NAME OF PROGRAM/PROJECT WITH PROBLEMS/ISSUES	ALERTED/ASSISTED TO HASTEN OR PUT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION BACK ON TRACK AND/OR TO ADDRESS IMPLEMENTATION (Y for yes and N for no)	NAME AND DATE OF MEETING/ FORA / CONSULTATIONS/ VISIT CONDUCTED (Specify)	REMARKS
1.				
2.				
3.				
TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENCIES WITH PROBLEMATIC PROJECTS:		TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENCIES ALERTED/ASSISTED TO HASTEN OR PUT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION BACK ON TRACK AND/OR TO ADDRESS IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES =		

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Number of socioeconomic assessment reports (SER and RDRs) prepared and released within schedule

- a. Socio-Economic Report (SER)
- b. Regional Development Report (RDR)

Definition:

- This refers to the number of socioeconomic assessment reports prepared and released within schedule.
- The annual SER serves as a tool in monitoring the achievement of the PDP targets. The RDR serves as a tool in monitoring the achievement of RDP objectives and targets.

Means of Verification:

- Published SER and guidelines
- Published RDRs

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Officer Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 16

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ACTUAL DATE OF RELEASE OF REPORT	REMARKS
a. 2019 Annual Regional Development Report (RDR) (c/o NROs)	15 RDRs for 2019 prepared and released by 3 rd Quarter		Emailed RDR electronic copies to RDC members and NEDA CO.
b. 2020 Socioeconomic Report (SER) (c/o NPPS)	1 draft 2020 SER prepared by 4 th Quarter; 2019 assessment included in the PDP Midterm Update		
TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS PREPARED = 16	TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS PREPARED AND RELEASED WITHIN SCHEDULE=		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

One (1) annual report on the performance of Official Development Assistance (ODA) portfolio prepared and submitted to Congress on or before June 30 annually

Definition:

- This refers to the timely submission to Congress of the outcome of the review of status of all projects financed by Official Development Assistance (ODA) as required by RA No. 8182.
- The following are the salient contents of the report: financial and physical performance of projects; project implementation issues; results (outputs and

outcomes) derived from implementing programs and projects; status of recommendations made in previous reviews.

Means of Verification:

- Published ODA Portfolio Review Report

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ACTUAL DATE OF SUBMISSION	REMARKS
a. ODA Portfolio Review Report (c/o MES)	Prepared and submitted on or before June 30, 2020		
TOTAL = 1	TOTAL NUMBER OF REPORT PREPARED AND SUBMITTED ON OR BEFORE JUNE 30 =		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3

Percentage of programs/projects (i.e. ICC-approved programs/projects with complete requirements) re-evaluated within target deadline

Definition:

- This pertains to the re-evaluation of projects within target deadline.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of project re-evaluations carried out by the NEDA evaluating units.

Means of verification:

- Minutes of ICC meetings
- Document tracking system to determine the dates of receipt of agency requests for project restructuring (e.g. extension, change in scope, etc.) and release of project evaluation reports

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 Target: At least 90% of re-evaluation requests processed; Quarterly target: At least 90% of requests processed

(c/o all Staffs and NROs)

LIST OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED	PROPONENT AGENCY	DATE OF RECEIPT OF AGENCY REQUEST FOR PROJECT RESTRUCTURING	DATE OF COMPLETION OF RE-EVALUATION	RE-EVALUATED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
1.					
2.					
3.					
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED:				TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE:	

MAJOR PROGRAMS/PROJECTS

NAME OF PROJECT	TARGET					ACCOMPLISHMENT					REMARKS
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	
General Administration and Support											
1. Purchase of motor vehicles (c/o Administrative Staff, NRO XII, and NRO XIII)											
2. Purchase of ICT equipment (c/o ICTS)											
Support to Operations											
3. Implementation of the Management Information System- NEDA Information Network Project, Phase IV (c/o ICTS)											
4. Various Infrastructure Projects (c/o NRO XII)											
Socioeconomic Policy and Planning Program											
5. Infrastructure Development Preparation Fund (c/o IS)											

6. Project Development and Other Related Studies Fund (c/o IS)												
7. Establishment of Innovation Fund (c/o TSIS)												
8. Provision of Secretariat Services to the National Innovation Council (c/o TSIS)												
9. Research and Development Fund (c/o Project Management Office)												
National Investment Programming Program												
10. Value Engineering/Value Analysis (VE/VA) Project (c/o IS)												
National Development Monitoring and Evaluation Program												
11. Monitoring and Evaluation Fund												

NARRATIVE ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT (c/o All Staffs and NROs)

(Option to upload MS word file or input in the comment box)

Socio-economic Policy and Planning

Migration and Development

NRO IV-A participated in the TWG on Reintegration Meeting conducted on August 14 via zoom video conference. The TWG on Reintegration was convened to discuss the details of reintegration services that can be provided to returning OFWs in CALABARZON under the Balikabayanihan Campaign. Among the initial activities identified were the conduct of local orientation on Balikabayahinan Campaign and the seminar on how to start a business. The TWG also planned to develop a list of online seminars and trainings that can be provided to returning OFWs in CALABARZON. The assistance of Provincial Committees on Migration and Development was requested to contact and link the returning OFWs with the regional initiatives.

NRO IV-A also served as resource speaker in the national CMD Forum on August 18 which was organized by Atikha Overseas Workers and Communities Initiatives, Inc., OWWA – National Reintegration Center for OFWs, and the Philippine National Volunteer Service Coordinating Agency. The role of international migration on regional development and the initiatives on reintegration of OFWs including identified projects for Balikabayanihan Campaign was presented during the Forum. The said activity was attended by regional lines agencies and local government units in the country.

SRC-SDG

The newly created RDC Special Regional Committee on Sustainable Development Goals (SRC-SDG) conducted its first organizational meeting on August 26, 2020 via video conference. The SRC-SDG was created to enhance coordination and allocate resources to implement programs and projects (P/P) that will significantly contribute to the achievement of SDG targets. The SRC-SDG is the regional counterpart of the NEDA Board Sub-Committee on SDGs.

The SRC-SDG approved its 2020-2021 Work Program which include the following activities: quarterly meetings, preparation of 2019 and 2020 SDG reports as part of the Annual Regional Development Reports, updating of core SDG indicators, technical budget review and endorsement of SDG-supportive programs and projects, among others. The Committee also confirmed the membership of nine RDC-Private Sector Representatives to ensure multi-sectoral participation and facilitate initiatives between government and non-government stakeholders to address concerns on SDGs. Advocacy on SDGs and localization will also be conducted to increase awareness, and encourage active participation and commitment at the local level on the SDGs.

COVID-19

NRO IV-A regularly participates in the conduct of COVID-19 activities such as: a) RTF meetings, as lead of the economic cluster; b) RIATF and RIATF-Screening and Validation Committee meetings; and c) other COVID-19 related meetings. Daily COVID-19 cases monitoring were also conducted.

Technical Support Services

NRO IV-A facilitated the conduct of the Third Quarter 2020 Sectoral Committee on Macroeconomy and Development Administration Meeting. The SCMDA endorsed the conduct of the registration for the Philippine Identification System that will enable the government on strategic identification of beneficiaries of its programs and projects, and ease of government processes. The Committee also endorsed to the RDC to remind LGUs to improve and fast track process and procedures on land reclassification to generate private sector investments.

NRO IV-A also facilitated the conduct of the Second Semester 2020 Regional Research and Innovation Committee (RSIC) meeting which discussed the preparations for the 6th CALABARZON Research, Statistics and Innovation (RSI) Forum to be held on October 15. The forum is an initiative of the CALABARZON RSIC to promote a culture of research, showcase significant findings of research studies, and strengthen statistical capacities of academic institutions, government agencies, and local government units in the region. The Committee also discussed the on-going researches being conducted by the state and universities and colleges align with the priority areas contained in the CALABARZON Regional Development Research Agenda (RDRA) 2018-2022. The RDRA is a guide for research institutions on the research gaps and studies needed in addressing the issues, concerns and challenges stipulated in the CALABARZON Regional Development Plan.

NRO IV-A also monitored the 5-day (August 26 to September 2) virtual oral presentations of research papers (65 papers) that passed the preliminary evaluation conducted by the RRIC. The oral presentations are part of the activities for the determination of the Best Research Paper to be presented in virtual 6th CALABARZON RSI Forum on October 15.

Investment Programming

NRO IV-A prepared the project evaluation report for the LLRN Phase 1 and this was endorsed by the SCID to the RDC for subsequent endorsement to NEDA-ICC. Another project evaluated is the proposed Philippine-Israel Solar-powered Ferritigation Project by the DA. The Project will be discussed during a Special SCED Meeting on September 4 for possible inclusion in the agenda of the RDC Full Council Meeting on September 10. The Project is for RDC endorsement to NEDA-ICC.

On the Sto. Tomas Flyover project, continuous coordination with the proponent was conducted. The project will be deliberated again this coming special RDC-SCID meeting on September 7.

NRO IV-A revised the RDC Project Endorsement Guidelines and presented in the four sectoral committees of the RDC. The Guidelines was endorsed to the RDC and hopefully it will be approved on September 10.

To enhance the knowledge and skills of local government staff in generating project proposals that could be implemented through PPP, NRO IV-A conducted a two-day training on PPP last August 18 and 19 for Investment Promotions Group members. The said training were attended by 52 participants consisting of planning officers of CALABARZON LGUs, DTI Region IV-A and NEDA Region IV-A.

NRO IV-A submitted technical inputs on the request of RDS on the FY 2021 RDC endorsed projects that were included in the NEP 2021 for the Congressional Hearing and DBCC Briefing. List of issues to be raised in the 52nd Cabinet Secretaries' Meeting were prepared and presented by RD Luis Banua during the said meeting.

Monitoring and Evaluation

NRO IV-A consolidated and reviewed all project monitoring reports of RLAs and LGUs included in the 2nd Quarter RPMES Report submitted to MES. The monitoring report was also uploaded in the Calabarzon Project Monitoring System.

Support to Operation

Submitted and posted to NEDA website monthly and quarterly financial and physical reports to NCO and DBM. Provided administrative support to all teleconference NEDA and RDC related meetings.

Prepared and submitted to the ISO Internal Audit Team all post ISO audit documents for approval.

Prepared by:



CHERRY S. VILLEGAS September 04, 2020
Signature over Printed Name/Date

Approved by:



LUIS G. BANUA
Signature over Printed Name/ Date