



Republic of the Philippines

---

**National Economic and Development Authority**  
Region IV-A (Calabarzon)

**MEMORANDUM**

FOR : **OIC-DIRECTOR JOSEPH T. LALOG**  
Financial Planning and Management Staff

SUBJECT : **Submission of NEDA Region IV-A 2020 Monthly Physical Report of Operations**

DATE : October 8, 2020

---

This is to submit the attached NRO IV-A 2020 Physical Report of Operation for the month of September.

For consideration. Thank you and best regards.

  
**LUIS G. BANUA**  
Regional Director

FAD/mec

**2020 MONTHLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION**  
**Details of Accomplishment**  
**Template**

**STAFF / REGIONAL OFFICE: NRO IV-A**

**Period covered by the Report: September 2020**

**SOCIOECONOMIC POLICY AND PLANNING PROGRAM**

**Outcome Indicators**

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Percentage of policy recommendations adopted

Definition:

- This refers to the proportion of policy recommendations that were adopted by decision-makers – The President, the Cabinet, and the Congress. Policies refer to government decisions, courses of action, and positions on various concerns relating to NEDA’s mandate that are issued to respond to specific problems or concerns. Policies can be in the form of official pronouncement or statements, administrative orders, memorandum circulars, board resolutions, implementing rules and regulations, and other related issuances. The term “adopted” refers to acceptance of policy recommendation, as manifested by its inclusion or incorporation in a policy issuance.
- This indicator measures the quality of analyses of development issues and policy alternatives provided to said decision makers.

Means of Verification:

- Analysis of the following documents against policy recommendations provided: [proposed laws](#), [enacted laws](#) and their implementing rules and regulations; executive issuances (circular, orders, official pronouncement or statements, board resolutions, [memoranda for the President](#), [policy briefs/notes](#), and other related issuances)

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Policy recommendations approved by the Secretary
- Computed as = (Total number of policy recommendations adopted by decision makers / Total number of policy recommendations prepared and reviewed) x 100

2020 target: 85.7%; Quarterly target: 85.7%

***(c/o All Staffs and NROs concerned)***

**Note:** A data field for reporting actual accomplishment relative to the *outcome indicator* “Percentage of policy recommendations adopted” is already incorporated in the table/matrix for reporting accomplishment relative to the *output indicator* “Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion”.

**PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2**

Percentage of agenda items related to the Plans for NEDA Board Committees where NEDA is the secretariat

**Definition:**

- This refers to the percentage share of Plan-related concerns' inclusion as agenda items to the total number of agenda items developed by NEDA as Secretariat and adopted by the NEDA Board Committees (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP).
- Plans refer to the following, among others: Philippine Development Plan (PDP), Regional Development Plans (RDP), Area Spatial Development Framework (ASDF) plans, Regional Spatial Development Framework (RDSF) plans, National Physical Framework Plan (NPPF), National Priority Plan (NPP), and other plans that NEDA is tasked to prepare in accordance with pronouncements and priorities of the President, laws, rules and regulations, and executive issuances in the future.

**Means of Verification:**

- Analysis of the following documents: NEDA Board Committees' resolution, minutes of meetings of NEDA Board Committees and its Other Committees (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP)

**Monitoring Mechanism:**

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
- Computed as = (Total number of Plan-related concerns included as agenda items / Total number of agenda items adopted by NEDA Board Committees) x 100

2020 Target: 93%; Quarterly target: 93%

**(c/o All Staffs and NROs)**

LIST OF PLAN-RELATED CONCERNS INCLUDED AS AGENDA ITEMS	NAME OF NEDA BOARD COMMITTEE (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP) (e.g. SDC, INFRACOM)	DATE OF MEETING	LIST OF AGENDA ITEMS ADOPTED BY NEDA BOARD COMMITTEES (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP)	REMARKS
1. Updated RDIP 2017-2022	RDC	September 10		
2. Regional SDG Indicators	RDC	September 10		
3. Inclusion of programs and projects of DA, DPWH, BatStateU and LSPU to the Updated RDIP 2017-2022	RDC	September 10		
4. Philippines-Israel Solar-Powered Fertigation Project	RDC	September 10		
5. Laguna Lakeshore Road Network	RDC	September 10		

Project				
6. Proposed Sto. Tomas Steel Flyover Project	RDC	September 10		
7. Proposed Executive Order (EO) on Full Devolution and in Relation to the Implementation of the Supreme Court (SC) Ruling on the Increase in Internal Revenue Allotment (IRA)	Luzon RDCom	September 16		
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAN-RELATED CONCERNS INCLUDED AS AGENDA ITEMS: 7</b>			<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENDA ITEMS ADOPTED BY THE NEDA BOARD COMMITTEES = 0</b>	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

- a. NEDA Board
- b. NEDA Board Committees:
  1. Social Development Committee
  2. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters
  3. National Land Use Committee
  4. Regional Development Committee
- c. [Other Inter-Agency Committees](#)
- d. [Regional Development Councils](#)

Definition:

- This refers to the average satisfaction rating of members of NEDA Board, Social Development Committee (SDC), Committee on Tariff and Related Matters (CTRM), National Land Use Committee (NLUC), Infrastructure Committee (INFRACOM), Regional Development Committee (RDCom), other Inter-Agency-Committees (IACs), and Regional Development Councils (RDCs) with the completeness and accuracy of meeting documents prepared by the NEDA Secretariat.
- This indicator measures quality of NEDA's technical secretariat services provided, specifically on setting the agenda and preparation of meeting documents (highlights of meetings, presentation materials, etcetera).

Means of verification:

- Accomplished surveys/ questionnaires / [evaluation sheets](#)

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reports during the next NEDA Board, SDC, INFRACOM, CTRM, NLUC, Other IAC, RDCom and RDC Meetings
- Computed as average of all client satisfaction rating of members per meeting

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
<b>NEDA Board</b> (c/o LS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
<b>NEDA Board Committees:</b>			
1. Social Development Committee (c/o SDS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
2. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters (c/o TSIS)	At least a 2.5/5 or 50% (Satisfactory) average rating		
3. National Land Use Committee (c/o RDS)	At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating		
4. Regional Development Committee (c/o RDS)	At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating		
<b>Other Inter-Agency Committees</b>	<b>At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating</b>		
a. Philippine Council for Sustainable Development (c/o ANRES)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
b. Mining Industry Coordinating Council (c/o ANRES)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
c. MC-IHDC (c/o SDS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
d. IAC on Trade in Services (c/o TSIS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
e. EDC (c/o TSIS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
f. IAC-DA (c/o GovS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
g. FSC on Rice (c/o ANRES)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
h. SC-IMD (c/o NPPS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
i. TWG-Macro (c/o NPPS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
j. TWG-EG	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
<b>Regional Development Councils</b>	<b>At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating</b>		
1. RDC CAR (c/o NRO CAR)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
2. RDC I (c/o NRO I)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
3. RDC II (c/o NRO II)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
4. RDC III (c/o NRO III)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
5. RDC IV-A (c/o NRO IV-A)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating	4.33	
6. RDC MIMAROPA (c/o NRO MIMAROPA)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
7. RDC V (c/o NRO V)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
8. RDC VI (c/o NRO VI)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
9. RDC VII (c/o NRO VII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
10. RDC VIII (c/o NRO VIII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
11. RDC IX (c/o NRO IX)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
12. RDC X (c/o NRO X)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
13. RDC XI (c/o NRO XI)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
14. RDC XII (c/o NRO XII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
15. RDC XIII (c/o NRO XIII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL:	
--------------------------------	--

DATE OF MEETING	NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL MEMBER	SATISFACTION RATING GIVEN BY MEMBER	Rmarks
September 4, 2020	Special SCED Meeting	4.52 / 5.00 Outstanding	
September 7, 2020	Special Sectoral Committee on Infrastructure Dev't Meeting	4.62/Excellent	
September 10	Joint RDC-RPOC Meeting	4.39	
September 15, 2020	Regional GAD Committee (RGADC) - Orientation on the Enhanced Gender	4.51	

	Responsive LGU (GeRL) Assessment Tool		
September 16	Luzon RDCom	4.37	
September 16, 2020	Regional Land Use Committee (RLUC) – PDPFP Updating Meeting	4.43	
September 22-23, 2020	RDC Development Planning Leaders Group – Planners Forum	4.52	
	Count of Participants	Sum of Satisfaction Ratings: 31.36 Average Satisfaction Rating: 4.48	

## Output Indicators

### PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion

#### Definition:

- This refers to the proportion of total number of requests that were reviewed within 10 working days from date of receipt **or within the date indicated by requesting party.**
- This indicator measures the timeliness of conduct of short-term policy reviews. An increase of this indicator is a sign of progress on the provision of timely analyses of development issues and policy alternatives to decision-makers – the President, the Cabinet, and the Congress.

#### Means of verification:

- NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine date of acceptance of request and release of response; and
- Document analysis

#### Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
- Computed as = (Total number of policy recommendations prepared/ reviewed within required date and/or time of completion / Total number of policy recommendations prepared and reviewed) x 100

2020 target: 97%; Quarterly target: 97%

### External Request **(c/o all Staffs and NROs)**

NAME OF POLICY REQUESTS (Specify the policies requested for review)	REQUESTING PARTY (Senate/ HOR/ NEDA Board Committees/ RDCs, etc.)	DATE RECEIVED BY NEDA	DEADLINE OF REQUEST	ACTUAL DATE OF SUBMISSION	PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION (Y for Yes and N for No)	ADOPTED BY DECISION MAKERS (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/ reviewing policy requests within required date and/or time of completion / reason why policy recommendations were not adopted by decision makers</i>
--	---	--------------------------	------------------------	------------------------------	---	---	--

1. Resolution No. 01 series of 2020: "A resolution declaring the Taal Volcano Island as "No Human Settlements Land".	Taal Volcano Protected Landscape-Protected Area Management Bureau (TVPL-PAMB)	Sept. 5, 2020	Sept. 8, 2020	Sept. 7, 2020	Y	Y	
2. PAMB-TVPL Resolution Nos. 44, 63 and 64, Series of 2020 PAMB-TVPL Sept. 16 Sept. 21 Sept. 21 Y Y	PAMB-TVPL	Sept. 16	Sept. 21	Sept. 21	Y	Y	
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED AND REVIEWED: 2/2</b>					<b>TOTAL NO. OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN THE REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION = 2/2</b>	<b>TOTAL NO. OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS ADOPTED BY DECISION MAKERS = 2/2</b>	

**Internal Request (c/o all Staffs and NROs)**

NAME OF POLICY REQUESTS (Specify the policies requested for review)	REQUESTING PARTY (Staffs/NROs, e.g. SDS, NRO 3, etc.)	PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/reviewing policy requests within required date and/or time of completion</i>
1. 2. 3.			
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED AND REVIEWED:</b>		<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN THE REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION:</b>	

**PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:**

Number of plans prepared/updated and submitted within schedule to NEDA Board, RDCom, NLUC, RDCs, and/or Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning, respectively, for approval

**Definition:**

- This refers to the count of planning documents prepared/ updated, and submitted within schedule to the NEDA Board, Regional Development Committee (RDCom), National Land Use Committee (NLUC), Regional Development Councils (RDCs), and/or the Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of preparation/ updating, and submission of planning documents that serve as guide in the formulation and



implementation of policies, programs and projects.

- Plans refer to the following, among others: Philippine Development Plan (PDP), Regional Development Plans (RDP), Area Spatial Development Framework (ASDF) plans, Regional Spatial Development Framework (RDSF) plans, National Physical Framework Plan (NPFP), National Priority Plan (NPP), and other plans that NEDA is tasked to prepare in accordance with pronouncements and priorities of the President, laws, rules and regulations, and executive issuances in the future.

Means of verification:

- Analysis of the following documents:
  - NEDA Board resolutions
  - RDC resolutions
  - Minutes of meetings of NEDA Board and its Committees, and Technical Committee on the NPP, RDCs and its Committees
  - Planning Guidelines and schedules for the preparation of plans

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 Target: 17 total (1 Results Matrices [RM], 7 RDPs, 2 RSDFs, 6 RPFs, 1 NPP)

NAME OF PLANNING DOCUMENT	TARGET NUMBER OF PLANS PREPARED/UPDATED	DATE OF SUBMISSION/PRESENTATION TO SECRETARY OF SOCIOECONOMIC PLANNING/NEDA BOARD/ RDCCom/ NLUC/RDCs	PLANS PREPARED/UPDATED AND FINALIZED WITHIN SCHEDULE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not submitting/presenting the plans within schedule</i>
1. Results Matrices (RM) Compendium (c/o MES)	1 updated RM compendium by 1st Quarter			
2. Regional Development Plans (RDPs) (c/o NROs 1, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, CARAGA)	7 RDPs by 2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter			
3. Regional Spatial Development Frameworks (RSDFs) (c/o NROs 11, 12)	2 RSDFs by 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter			
4. Regional Physical Framework Plan (RPF) (c/o NROs CAR, 3, 5, 7, 8, CARAGA)	6 RPFs by 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter			
5. National Priority Plan (NPP) (c/o SDS)	1 NPP by 1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter			
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS PREPARED: 17</b>		<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS SUBMITTED/PRESENTED WITHIN SCHEDULE =</b>		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Number of economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period

<p><b>Definition:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This refers to the count of the following economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period (i.e., month, quarter, semester, and/or year): (a) monthly report on Trade, (b) monthly report on Consumer Price Index, (c) monthly Integrated Survey of Selected Industries, (e) quarterly report on National Accounts, (f) quarterly report on Labor and Employment, (g) annual report on Poverty Statistics.</li> <li>This indicator measures the timeliness dimension of preparation of economic reports. An increase of this indicator is a sign of progress on the provision of timely economic information.</li> </ul> <p><b>Means of verification:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine date of acceptance of request and release of response; and</li> <li>Document analysis</li> </ul> <p><b>Monitoring Mechanism:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Officer Performance Commitments.</li> </ul>	
--	--

2020 Target: 44

NAME OF ECONOMIC REPORT:	TARGET					ACCOMPLISHMENT					PREPARED AND SUBMITTED ON OR BEFORE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing the reports within the prescribed period</i>
	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
a. National Accounts (c/o NPPS)	4	1	1	1	1							
b. Trade (c/o NPPS)	12	3	3	3	3							
c. Consumer Price Index (c/o NPPS)	12	3	3	3	3							
d. Labor and Employment (c/o NPPS)	4	1	1	1	1							
e. Monthly Integrated Survey of Selected Industries (c/o TSIS)	12	3	3	3	3							
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF ECONOMIC REPORTS =</b>	<b><u>44</u></b>	<b><u>11</u></b>	<b><u>11</u></b>	<b><u>11</u></b>	<b><u>11</u></b>						<b>NUMBER OF ECONOMIC REPORTS PREPARED ON OR BEFORE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS=</b>	

NAME OF REPORT	PERIOD COVERED	DATE OF PREPARATION	DATE OF RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS

## NATIONAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMMING PROGRAM

### Outcome Indicators

#### PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

- a. Investment Coordination Committee
- b. Infrastructure Committee
- c. Other Inter-Agency Committees

#### Definition:

- This refers to the average satisfaction rating of members of Investment Coordination Committee (ICC) and Infrastructure Committee (INFRACOM) with the completeness and accuracy of meeting documents prepared by NEDA Secretariat.
- This indicator measures quality of NEDA's technical secretariat services provided, specifically the preparation of meeting documents (memorandum, evaluation report, presentation materials, letters, minutes, among others).

#### Means of verification:

- Accomplished surveys/questionnaires/ [evaluation sheets](#)

#### Monitoring mechanism:

- Reporting during ICC and INFRACOM meetings

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
Investment Coordination Committee (c/o PIS)	At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating		
Infrastructure Committee (c/o IS)	At least a 5/5 or 100% (Outstanding) average rating		
<b>Other Inter-Agency Committees</b>	<b>At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating</b>		
1. NPMC (c/o MES)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
2. Inter-agency Committee on	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average		

Project Management and Monitoring (IACPMM)/ PIO System (c/o MES)	rating		
3. IATCP (c/o IS)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
4. INFRACOM-SCWR (c/o IS)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE:  

DATE OF MEETING	NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL MEMBER	RATING GIVEN BY MEMBER	Remarks
	Count of Members:	Sum of Satisfaction Ratings:  Average Satisfaction Rating:	

**PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:**

Percentage of programs and projects approved by the ICC included in the PIP

**Definition:**

- This pertains to the proportion of programs and projects approved by the ICC which are included in the Public Investment Program (document).
- This indicator measures the responsiveness of programs and projects to the priorities of Government. An increase of this indicator is a sign that programs and projects approved by the ICC are aligned with the priorities of the PDP/RM.
- Computed as =  $(\text{Total number of programs and projects included in the PIP} / \text{Total number of programs and projects approved by the ICC}) \times 100$

**Means of verification:**

- Analysis of the following documents:
- PIP document
- List of ICC-approved programs and projects

**Monitoring mechanism:**

- Reports during NEDA Board and ICC meetings
- Accomplishments integrated in the Office Performance Commitments
- 

2020 target: 90%; Quarterly target: 90%

(c/o PIS)

PROGRAM/ PROJECT APPROVED BY THE ICC	DATE OF APPROVAL BY THE ICC	INCLUDED IN THE PIP (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not evaluating projects within target deadline</i>
1.			
2.			
3.			
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY THE ICC</b>		<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY ICC THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THE PIP:</b>	

### Output Indicators

#### PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Number of annual/medium-term public investment program documents prepared/updated and submitted every end of the year to the concerned inter-agency bodies for appropriate action

- a. PIP
- b. TRIP
- c. RDIP
- d. AIP

#### Definition:

- This pertains to the number of PIP documents prepared/updated every end of the year.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of formulation/ updating of PIP documents that serve as guide for efficient resource allocation toward priority public investments.

#### Means of Verification:

- Published PIP, CIIP, TRIP (i.e., printing and/or publication in the NEDA website)
- Posting of RDIP and AIP in the NRO website
- Analysis of the resolutions/ minutes of meetings of the following: NEDA Board, INFRACOM, and RDCs

#### Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 17

NAME OF PUBLIC INVESTMENT PROGRAM DOCUMENT	TARGET NUMBER OF PIP DOCUMENTS PREPARED	DATE OF SUBMISSION TO CONCERNED INTER-AGENCY BODIES	DOCUMENTS PREPARED/UPDATED AND SUBMITTED WITHIN THE YEAR (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/updating and submitting PIP documents within schedule</i>
1. Public Investment Program (PIP) (c/o PIS) 2. Three-Year Rolling Infrastructure Program (c/o IS) 3. Regional Development Investment Programs (RDIPs) (c/o NROs)	1 PIP by 1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter  1 TRIP by 1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter  15 draft updated RDIPs by 2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter			Updated RDIP completed. For RDC approval this 4Q 2020
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF PIP DOCUMENTS PREPARED: 17</b>		<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS SUBMITTED/PRESENTED WITHIN THE YEAR =</b>		

**PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:**

Percentage of projects appraised within target deadline

<p><b>Definition:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This pertains to the proportion of projects appraised within target deadline (e.g. projects costing Php 2.5 billion and above and with complete requirements appraised within 6 weeks and presented to the ICC-TB for deliberation).</li> </ul> <p><b>Means of verification:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Analysis of the minutes of the meetings</li> <li>NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine dates of receipt of project proposal and release of project evaluation reports.</li> </ul> <p><b>Monitoring Mechanism:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.</li> </ul>
--

2020 target: 90%; Quarterly target: 90%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF PROJECT	PROPONENT AGENCY	DATE OF RECEIPT OF PROJECT PROPOSAL	DATE OF COMPLETION OF APPRAISAL	APPRAISED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not evaluating projects within target deadline</i>
1.					
2.					
3.					
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECTS APPRAISED:</b>				<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECTS APPRAISED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE:</b>	

\* Refers to projects with complete submission of documents/information from proponent agencies. Only report projects that completed the appraisal during the period.

## NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PROGRAM

### Outcome Indicators

#### PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Adoption of Socio-Economic Report (SER) as basis for Budget Priorities Framework

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This refers to the adoption of SER for the preparation of the Budget Priorities Framework.</li> <li>This indicator measures the improvement of formulating budget priorities based on lessons learned from implementation of the Philippine Development plan.</li> </ul> <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Analysis of SER and Budget Priorities Framework</li> </ul> <p>Monitoring Mechanism:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Analysis of SER and Budget Priorities Framework</li> </ul>
---

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ADOPTED AS BASIS FOR BUDGET PRIORITIES FRAMEWORK (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
Socio-Economic Report (SER) (c/o NPPS)	The PDP Midterm Update will be adopted for BPF		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of requests for monitoring and evaluation (M&E) information for policy and decision-making made readily available to policy-makers and various stakeholders within prescribed period

**Definition:**

- This indicator measures the quality of NEDA's M&E services, specifically the preparation of documents/ communications providing M&E information to policy makers and various stakeholders (e.g. OP, PSA, DOF, COA, Congress, researchers, academe, government implementing agencies, providers of development cooperation, etc.)
- Computed as = (Total number of M&E Information made readily available to policy makers and various stakeholders within prescribed time / Total number of M&E requests) x 100

**Means of verification:**

- Document tracking system to determine date of receipt of request and release of response.

**Monitoring Mechanism:**

- Accomplishments are integrated in the SPMS forms

2020 target: 100%; Quarterly target: 100%

**(c/o MES and NROs)**

NAME OF REQUEST FOR M&E INFORMATION	REQUESTING PARTY (EXTERNAL)	DATE OF RECEIPT OF REQUEST	DATE OF RELEASE	MADE READILY AVAILABLE WITHIN PRESCRIBED TIME (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
1.					
2.					
3.					
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF M&amp;E REQUESTS RECEIVED</b>				<b>TOTAL NUMBER M&amp;E INFORMATION MADE READILY AVAILABLE TO POLICY-MAKERS AND VARIOUS STAKEHOLDERS WITHIN PRESCRIBED TIME =</b>	



**PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:**

Percentage of agencies with problematic projects alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues

**Definition:**

- This indicator measures the assistance provided by NEDA to facilitate project implementation through the conduct of problem-solving sessions, one-on-one agency consultations, field validation visits, project steering committee meetings, project implementation reviews with development providers, and major fora such as regular PIO meetings, NPMC meetings, and RPMES fora.
- Computed as = (Total number of agencies alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues / Total number of agencies with problematic projects) x 100

**Means of verification:**

- Communications, follow-ups, field validation reports, [Problem-Solving Sessions \(PSS\)](#), and minutes of meetings with agencies

**Monitoring Mechanism:**

- Accomplishments are integrated in the SPMS forms.
- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA.

2020 Target: 100% of agencies with problematic projects per quarter

**(c/o MES and NROs)**

NAME OF AGENCY WITH PROBLEMATIC PROJECTS	NAME OF PROGRAM/PROJECT WITH PROBLEMS/ISSUES	ALERTED/ASSISTED TO HASTEN OR PUT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION BACK ON TRACK AND/OR TO ADDRESS IMPLEMENTATION (Y for yes and N for no)	NAME AND DATE OF MEETING/ FORA / CONSULTATIONS/ VISIT CONDUCTED (Specify)	REMARKS
1. DPWH IV-A	Pulo-Diezmo Road	Y	September 3	
2. DPWH IV-A	Bacoor Diversion Road	Y	September 3	
3. NIA IV-A	Macalelon Irrigation Project	Y	September 3	
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENCIES WITH PROBLEMATIC PROJECTS:3</b>		<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENCIES ALERTED/ASSISTED TO HASTEN OR PUT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION BACK ON TRACK AND/OR TO ADDRESS IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES = 3</b>		

## Output Indicators

### PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Number of socioeconomic assessment reports (SER and RDRs) prepared and released within schedule

- a. Socio-Economic Report (SER)
- b. Regional Development Report (RDR)

**Definition:**

- This refers to the number of socioeconomic assessment reports prepared and released within schedule.
- The annual SER serves as a tool in monitoring the achievement of the PDP targets. The RDR serves as a tool in monitoring the achievement of RDP objectives and targets.

**Means of Verification:**

- Published SER and guidelines
- Published RDRs

**Monitoring Mechanism:**

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Officer Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 16

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ACTUAL DATE OF RELEASE OF REPORT	REMARKS
a. 2019 Annual Regional Development Report (RDR) (c/o NROs)	15 RDRs for 2019 prepared and released by 3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter		Emailed RDR electronic copies to RDC members and NEDA CO.
b. 2020 Socioeconomic Report (SER) (c/o NPPS)	1 draft 2020 SER prepared by 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter; 2019 assessment included in the PDP Midterm Update		
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS PREPARED = 16</b>	<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS PREPARED AND RELEASED WITHIN SCHEDULE=</b>		

### PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

One (1) annual report on the performance of Official Development Assistance (ODA) portfolio prepared and submitted to Congress on or before June 30 annually

**Definition:**

- This refers to the timely submission to Congress of the outcome of the review of status of all projects financed by Official Development Assistance

(ODA) as required by RA No. 8182.

- The following are the salient contents of the report: financial and physical performance of projects; project implementation issues; results (outputs and outcomes) derived from implementing programs and projects; status of recommendations made in previous reviews.

Means of Verification:

- Published ODA Portfolio Review Report

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ACTUAL DATE OF SUBMISSION	REMARKS
a. ODA Portfolio Review Report (c/o MES)	Prepared and submitted on or before June 30, 2020		
<b>TOTAL = 1</b>	<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF REPORT PREPARED AND SUBMITTED ON OR BEFORE JUNE 30 =</b>		

### PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3

Percentage of programs/projects (i.e. ICC-approved programs/projects with complete requirements) re-evaluated within target deadline

Definition:

- This pertains to the re-evaluation of projects within target deadline.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of project re-evaluations carried out by the NEDA evaluating units.

Means of verification:

- Minutes of ICC meetings
- Document tracking system to determine the dates of receipt of agency requests for project restructuring (e.g. extension, change in scope, etc.) and release of project evaluation reports

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 Target: At least 90% of re-evaluation requests processed; Quarterly target: At least 90% of requests processed

(c/o all Staffs and NROs)

LIST OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED	PROPONENT AGENCY	DATE OF RECEIPT OF AGENCY REQUEST FOR PROJECT RESTRUCTURING	DATE OF COMPLETION OF RE-EVALUATION	RE-EVALUATED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
1.					
2.					
3.					
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED:</b>				<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE:</b>	

**MAJOR PROGRAMS/PROJECTS**

NAME OF PROJECT	TARGET					ACCOMPLISHMENT					REMARKS
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	
<b>General Administration and Support</b>											
1. Purchase of motor vehicles (c/o Administrative Staff, NRO XII, and NRO XIII)											
2. Purchase of ICT equipment (c/o ICTS)											
<b>Support to Operations</b>											
3. Implementation of the Management Information System- NEDA Information Network Project, Phase IV (c/o ICTS)											
4. Various Infrastructure Projects (c/o NRO XII)											
<b>Socioeconomic Policy and Planning Program</b>											
5. Infrastructure Development Preparation											

Fund (c/o IS)											
6. Project Development and Other Related Studies Fund (c/o IS)											
7. Establishment of Innovation Fund (c/o TSIS)											
8. Provision of Secretariat Services to the National Innovation Council (c/o TSIS)											
9. Research and Development Fund (c/o Project Management Office)											
<b>National Investment Programming Program</b>											
10. Value Engineering/Value Analysis (VE/VA) Project (c/o IS)											
<b>National Development Monitoring and Evaluation Program</b>											
11. Monitoring and Evaluation Fund											

## **NARRATIVE ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT (c/o All Staffs and NROs)**

(Option to upload MS word file or input in the comment box)

### **Socio-economic Policy and Planning**

#### **Orientation on GeRL**

The CALABARZON Regional Gender and Development Committee (RGADC) pushed for gender-responsiveness at the local level through an Orientation on the Enhanced Gender Responsive LGU (GeRL) Assessment Tool conducted on September 15, 2020 via Zoom videoconference. The online orientation gathered a total of 353 participants with 96 out of the 142 LGUs in the region participating.

The CALABARZON RGADC, in partnership with the Philippine Commission on Women (PCW), conducted the said orientation to increase awareness and enhance the capability of LGUs in administering the GeRL Tool which will help ensure the gender-responsiveness of services and organizational capacities of LGUs.

#### **2020 Planners Forum**

Around 200 planners from the local government units (LGUs), agencies, and academe gathered for this year's CALABARZON Forum for Local Planners held on September 22 to 23, 2020. The forum, which was conducted via videoconference, focused on the government's response to current challenges on public health and disasters.

The CALABARZON Forum for Local Planners is an annual activity of the CALABARZON Regional Development Council spearheaded by NEDA Region IV-A and the League of Local Development Planning Coordinators, Inc. The forum is conducted to provide a venue to discuss recent national plans, programs, and policies that can guide local development planning.

#### **Technical Support**

NRO IV-A facilitated the conduct of the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter 2020 RDC Full Council meeting on September 10. There were 70 out of 88 members present during the meeting. The Council passed 24 plan-related resolutions which include endorsement of Philippine-Israel Solar-Powered Fertigation Project, Laguna Lakeshore Road Network Project Phase I, and Sto. Tomas Flyover Project to the NEDA-ICC and endorsement of the joint projects of the BalikaBayanihan Campaign for implementation and support of concerned agencies and institutions, among others. The body also approved the revised RDC Project Endorsement Guidelines to facilitate the approval process and enhance the participation of the local government units that will be affected by certain projects.

NRO IV-A also facilitated the conduct of the 2020 Joint RDC and RPOC meeting on September 10 via video conference attended by 65 out of 88 RDC members. The joint councils passed a resolution supporting with amendments the proposed Executive Order on directing the full devolution of certain functions of the Executive Branch to the local governments. The same resolution was also passed during the Luzon RDCom meeting on September 16, 2020 which was facilitated by NRO IV-A as the Luzon RDCom Secretariat.

NRO IV-A continued facilitating the preparations for the 6<sup>th</sup> CALABARZON Research, Statistics and Innovation Forum. NRO IV-A oversee the conduct of oral and poster presentations on September 1 and 2, and September 22-25, respectively.

NRO IV-A continued facilitating the Tagaytay City Urban Carrying Capacity Research Project. The Draft Final Report was presented by the consultant via zoom. NRO IV-A was able to provide comments and improvements of the report and updates on the request for project extension by the consultant.

### **Investment Programming**

NRO IV-A prepared and presented the comments on the Sto Tomas Flyover Project during the 3<sup>rd</sup> Qrt SCID Meeting on September 7, 2020 and endorsed the project to the RDC. Also, the project evaluation on the Philippines-Israel Solar-powered Fertigation Project was presented and endorsed by the SCED to the RDC on September 4. The RDC endorsed the two projects to the NEDA-ICC during the 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter RDC Meeting on September 10.

Conducted review of Handbook for LGUs by the Investment Promotion Group of the RDC. The handbook is a compilation of services available to LGUs from NGAs and other sources.

### **Project Monitoring**

NRO IV-A conducted Problem-Solving Sessions on the 3 infrastructure projects in the region, namely: 1) Pulo-Diezmo Road; 2) Bacoor Diversion Road; and Macalelon Irrigation Projects on September 3 to address issues on road right-of-way and delayed release of budget.

With regards to project monitoring, the RPMES submission of Local Project Monitoring Committees were reviewed and consolidated the report.

Requested and prepared comments on the draft TOR for the Impact Evaluation Study for the PPP for School Infrastructure Project.

Prepared by:



**CHERRY. C VILLEGAS**

Signature over Printed Name/Date

Approved by:



**LUIS G. BANUA**

Signature over Printed Name/ Date