



Republic of the Philippines

National Economic and Development Authority
Region IV-A (Calabarzon)

MEMORANDUM

FOR : **DIR. MA. MONICA P. PAGUNSAN**
Financial Planning and Management Staff

SUBJECT : **Submission of NEDA Region IV-A 2020 Monthly Physical Report of Operations**

DATE : November 6, 2020

This is to submit the attached NRO IV-A 2020 Physical Report of Operation for the month of October.

For consideration. Thank you and best regards.

For the Regional Director:

GINA T. GACUSAN
Assistant Regional Director

FAD/mec

2020 MONTHLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION
Details of Accomplishment
Template

STAFF / REGIONAL OFFICE: NRO IV-A

Period covered by the Report: October 2020

SOCIOECONOMIC POLICY AND PLANNING PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Percentage of policy recommendations adopted

Definition:

- This refers to the proportion of policy recommendations that were adopted by decision-makers – The President, the Cabinet, and the Congress. Policies refer to government decisions, courses of action, and positions on various concerns relating to NEDA’s mandate that are issued to respond to specific problems or concerns. Policies can be in the form of official pronouncement or statements, administrative orders, memorandum circulars, board resolutions, implementing rules and regulations, and other related issuances. The term “adopted” refers to acceptance of policy recommendation, as manifested by its inclusion or incorporation in a policy issuance.
- This indicator measures the quality of analyses of development issues and policy alternatives provided to said decision makers.

Means of Verification:

- Analysis of the following documents against policy recommendations provided: [proposed laws](#), [enacted laws](#) and their implementing rules and regulations; executive issuances (circular, orders, official pronouncement or statements, board resolutions, [memoranda for the President](#), [policy briefs/notes](#), and other related issuances)

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Policy recommendations approved by the Secretary
- Computed as = (Total number of policy recommendations adopted by decision makers / Total number of policy recommendations prepared and reviewed) x 100

2020 target: 85.7%; Quarterly target: 85.7%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs concerned)

Note: A data field for reporting actual accomplishment relative to the *outcome indicator* “Percentage of policy recommendations adopted” is already incorporated in the table/matrix for reporting accomplishment relative to the *output indicator* “Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion”.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2

Percentage of agenda items related to the Plans for NEDA Board Committees where NEDA is the secretariat

Definition:

- This refers to the percentage share of Plan-related concerns' inclusion as agenda items to the total number of agenda items developed by NEDA as Secretariat and adopted by the NEDA Board Committees (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP).
- Plans refer to the following, among others: Philippine Development Plan (PDP), Regional Development Plans (RDP), Area Spatial Development Framework (ASDF) plans, Regional Spatial Development Framework (RDSF) plans, National Physical Framework Plan (NPPF), National Priority Plan (NPP), and other plans that NEDA is tasked to prepare in accordance with pronouncements and priorities of the President, laws, rules and regulations, and executive issuances in the future.

Means of Verification:

- Analysis of the following documents: NEDA Board Committees' resolution, minutes of meetings of NEDA Board Committees and its Other Committees (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP)

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
- Computed as = (Total number of Plan-related concerns included as agenda items / Total number of agenda items adopted by NEDA Board Committees) x 100

2020 Target: 93%; Quarterly target: 93%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs)

LIST OF PLAN-RELATED CONCERNS INCLUDED AS AGENDA ITEMS	NAME OF NEDA BOARD COMMITTEE (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP) (e.g. SDC, INFRACOM)	DATE OF MEETING	LIST OF AGENDA ITEMS ADOPTED BY NEDA BOARD COMMITTEES (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP)	REMARKS
1.				
2.				
3.				
TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAN-RELATED CONCERNS INCLUDED AS AGENDA ITEMS:			TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENDA ITEMS ADOPTED BY THE NEDA BOARD COMMITTEES =	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

- a. NEDA Board
- b. NEDA Board Committees:
 - 1. Social Development Committee
 - 2. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters
 - 3. National Land Use Committee
 - 4. Regional Development Committee
- c. Other Inter-Agency Committees
- d. Regional Development Councils

Definition:

- This refers to the average satisfaction rating of members of NEDA Board, Social Development Committee (SDC), Committee on Tariff and Related Matters (CTRM), National Land Use Committee (NLUC), Infrastructure Committee (INFRACOM), Regional Development Committee (RDCom), other Inter-Agency-Committees (IACs), and Regional Development Councils (RDCs) with the completeness and accuracy of meeting documents prepared by the NEDA Secretariat.
- This indicator measures quality of NEDA's technical secretariat services provided, specifically on setting the agenda and preparation of meeting documents (highlights of meetings, presentation materials, etcetera).

Means of verification:

- Accomplished surveys/ questionnaires / [evaluation sheets](#)

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reports during the next NEDA Board, SDC, INFRACOM, CTRM, NLUC, Other IAC, RDCom and RDC Meetings
- Computed as average of all client satisfaction rating of members per meeting

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
NEDA Board (c/o LS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
NEDA Board Committees:			
1. Social Development Committee (c/o SDS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
2. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters (c/o TSIS)	At least a 2.5/5 or 50% (Satisfactory) average rating		
3. National Land Use Committee (c/o RDS)	At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating		
4. Regional Development Committee	At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory)		

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
(c/o RDS)	average rating		
Other Inter-Agency Committees	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
a. Philippine Council for Sustainable Development (c/o ANRES)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
b. Mining Industry Coordinating Council (c/o ANRES)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
c. MC-IHDC (c/o SDS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
d. IAC on Trade in Services (c/o TSIS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
e. EDC (c/o TSIS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
f. IAC-DA (c/o GovS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
g. FSC on Rice (c/o ANRES)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
h. SC-IMD (c/o NPPS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
i. TWG-Macro (c/o NPPS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
j. TWG-EG	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
Regional Development Councils	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
1. RDC CAR (c/o NRO CAR)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
2. RDC I (c/o NRO I)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
3. RDC II (c/o NRO II)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
4. RDC III (c/o NRO III)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
5. RDC IV-A (c/o NRO IV-A)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
6. RDC MIMAROPA (c/o NRO MIMAROPA)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
7. RDC V (c/o NRO V)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
8. RDC VI (c/o NRO VI)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
	Satisfactory) average rating		
9. RDC VII (c/o NRO VII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
10. RDC VIII (c/o NRO VIII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
11. RDC IX (c/o NRO IX)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
12. RDC X (c/o NRO X)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
13. RDC XI (c/o NRO XI)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
14. RDC XII (c/o NRO XII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
15. RDC XIII (c/o NRO XIII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL:	
--------------------------------	--

DATE OF MEETING	NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL MEMBER	SATISFACTION RATING GIVEN BY MEMBER	Remarks
October 6, 2020	Meeting on CALABARZON Justice Zone	4.59	
October 7, 2020	Special RRIC Meeting	4.92	
October 15, 2020	6 th CALABARZON RSI Forum	4.67	
October 16, 2020	Committee on Migration and Development (CMD) fourth quarter meeting	4.67	
October 22, 2020	Regional Committee on Quality Education for All (RCQEFA) Special Meeting	4.66	
October 22, 2020	Sub-Committee on Culture (SCC) Second semester meeting	4.35	
	Count of Members: CMD: 35 RCQEFA: 34 SCC: 28	Sum of Satisfaction Ratings: 27.86 Average Satisfaction Rating: 4.63	

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion

Definition:

- This refers to the proportion of total number of requests that were reviewed within 10 working days from date of receipt or within the date indicated by requesting party.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of conduct of short-term policy reviews. An increase of this indicator is a sign of progress on the provision of timely analyses of development issues and policy alternatives to decision-makers – the President, the Cabinet, and the Congress.

Means of verification:

- NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine date of acceptance of request and release of response; and
- Document analysis

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
- Computed as = (Total number of policy recommendations prepared/ reviewed within required date and/or time of completion / Total number of policy recommendations prepared and reviewed) x 100

2020 target: 97%; Quarterly target: 97%

External Request (c/o all Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF POLICY REQUESTS (Specify the policies requested for review)	REQUESTING PARTY (Senate/ HOR/ NEDA Board Committees/ RDCs, etc.)	DATE RECEIVED BY NEDA	DEADLINE OF REQUEST	ACTUAL DATE OF SUBMISSION	PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION (Y for Yes and N for No)	ADOPTED BY DECISION MAKERS (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/ reviewing policy requests within required date and/or time of completion / reason why policy recommendations were not adopted by decision makers</i>
1. 2. 3.							
TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED AND REVIEWED:					TOTAL NO. OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN THE REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION =	TOTAL NO. OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS ADOPTED BY DECISION MAKERS =	

Internal Request (c/o all Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF POLICY REQUESTS (Specify the policies requested for review)	REQUESTING PARTY (Staffs/NROs, e.g. SDS, NRO 3, etc.)	PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/reviewing policy requests within required date and/or time of completion</i>
1. 2. 3.			
TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED AND REVIEWED:		TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN THE REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION:	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Number of plans prepared/updated and submitted within schedule to NEDA Board, RDCom, NLUC, RDCs, and/or Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning, respectively, for approval

Definition:

- This refers to the count of planning documents prepared/ updated, and submitted within schedule to the NEDA Board, Regional Development Committee (RDCom), National Land Use Committee (NLUC), Regional Development Councils (RDCs), and/or the Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of preparation/ updating, and submission of planning documents that serve as guide in the formulation and implementation of policies, programs and projects.
- Plans refer to the following, among others: Philippine Development Plan (PDP), Regional Development Plans (RDP), Area Spatial Development Framework (ASDF) plans, Regional Spatial Development Framework (RDSF) plans, National Physical Framework Plan (NPPF), National Priority Plan (NPP), and other plans that NEDA is tasked to prepare in accordance with pronouncements and priorities of the President, laws, rules and regulations, and executive issuances in the future.

Means of verification:

- Analysis of the following documents:
 - NEDA Board resolutions
 - [RDC resolutions](#)
 - Minutes of meetings of NEDA Board and its Committees, and Technical Committee on the NPP, [RDCs and its Committees](#)
 - [Planning Guidelines](#) and schedules for the preparation of plans

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 Target: 17 total (1 Results Matrices [RM], 7 RDPs, 2 RDSFs, 6 RPPFs, 1 NPP)

NAME OF PLANNING DOCUMENT		DATE OF	PLANS	REMARKS
---------------------------	--	---------	-------	---------

	TARGET NUMBER OF PLANS PREPARED/UPDATED	SUBMISSION/PRESENTATION TO SECRETARY OF SOCIOECONOMIC PLANNING/NEDA BOARD/ RDCom/ NLUC/RDCs	PREPARED/UPDATED AND FINALIZED WITHIN SCHEDULE (Y for yes and N for no)	<i>*indicate reason for not submitting/presenting the plans within schedule</i>
1. Results Matrices (RM) Compendium (c/o MES)	1 updated RM compendium by 1st Quarter			
2. Regional Development Plans (RDPs) (c/o NROs 1, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, CARAGA)	7 RDPs by 2 nd Quarter			
3. Regional Spatial Development Frameworks (RSDFs) (c/o NROs 11, 12)	2 RSDFs by 4 th Quarter			
4. Regional Physical Framework Plan (RFPF) (c/o NROs CAR, 3, 5, 7, 8, CARAGA)	6 RFPFs by 4 th Quarter			
5. National Priority Plan (NPP) (c/o SDS)	1 NPP by 1 st Quarter			
TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS PREPARED: 17		TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS SUBMITTED/PRESENTED WITHIN SCHEDULE =		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Number of economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This refers to the count of the following economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period (i.e., month, quarter, semester, and/or year): (a) monthly report on Trade, (b) monthly report on Consumer Price Index, (c) monthly Integrated Survey of Selected Industries, (e) quarterly report on National Accounts, (f) quarterly report on Labor and Employment, (g) annual report on Poverty Statistics. This indicator measures the timeliness dimension of preparation of economic reports. An increase of this indicator is a sign of progress on the provision of timely economic information. <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine date of acceptance of request and release of response; and Document analysis <p>Monitoring Mechanism:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Officer Performance Commitments.

2020 Target: 44

NAME OF ECONOMIC REPORT:	TARGET					ACCOMPLISHMENT					PREPARED AND SUBMITTED ON OR BEFORE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing the reports within the prescribed period</i>
	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
a. National Accounts (c/o NPPS)	4	1	1	1	1							
b. Trade (c/o NPPS)	12	3	3	3	3							
c. Consumer Price Index (c/o NPPS)	12	3	3	3	3							
d. Labor and Employment (c/o NPPS)	4	1	1	1	1							
e. Monthly Integrated Survey of Selected Industries (c/o TSIS)	12	3	3	3	3							
TOTAL NUMBER OF ECONOMIC REPORTS =	44	11	11	11	11						NUMBER OF ECONOMIC REPORTS PREPARED ON OR BEFORE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS=	

NAME OF REPORT	PERIOD COVERED	DATE OF PREPARATION	DATE OF RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

- a. Investment Coordination Committee
- b. Infrastructure Committee
- c. Other Inter-Agency Committees

Definition:

- This refers to the average satisfaction rating of members of Investment Coordination Committee (ICC) and Infrastructure Committee (INFRACOM) with the completeness and accuracy of meeting documents prepared by NEDA Secretariat.
- This indicator measures quality of NEDA's technical secretariat services provided, specifically the preparation of meeting documents (memorandum, evaluation report, presentation materials, letters, minutes, among others).

Means of verification:

- Accomplished surveys/questionnaires/ [evaluation sheets](#)

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reporting during ICC and INFRACOM meetings

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
Investment Coordination Committee (c/o PIS)	At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating		
Infrastructure Committee (c/o IS)	At least a 5/5 or 100% (Outstanding) average rating		
Other Inter-Agency Committees	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
1. NPMC (c/o MES)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
2. Inter-agency Committee on Project Management and Monitoring (IACPMM)/ PIO System (c/o MES)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
3. IATCP (c/o IS)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
4. INFRACOM-SCWR (c/o IS)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE:

DATE OF MEETING	NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL MEMBER	RATING GIVEN BY MEMBER	Remarks
	Count of Members:	Sum of Satisfaction Ratings:	
		Average Satisfaction Rating:	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of programs and projects approved by the ICC included in the PIP

Definition:

- This pertains to the proportion of programs and projects approved by the ICC which are included in the Public Investment Program (document).
- This indicator measures the responsiveness of programs and projects to the priorities of Government. An increase of this indicator is a sign that programs and projects approved by the ICC are aligned with the priorities of the PDP/RM.
- Computed as = (Total number of programs and projects included in the PIP / Total number of programs and projects approved by the ICC) x 100

Means of verification:

- Analysis of the following documents:
- PIP document
- List of ICC-approved programs and projects

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reports during NEDA Board and ICC meetings
- Accomplishments integrated in the Office Performance Commitments
-

2020 target: 90%; Quarterly target: 90%

(c/o PIS)

PROGRAM/ PROJECT APPROVED BY THE ICC	DATE OF APPROVAL BY THE ICC	INCLUDED IN THE PIP (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not evaluating projects within target deadline</i>
1.			
2.			
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY THE ICC		TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY ICC THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THE PIP:	

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Number of annual/medium-term public investment program documents prepared/updated and submitted every end of the year to the concerned inter-agency bodies for appropriate action

- a. PIP
- b. TRIP
- c. RDIP
- d. AIP

Definition:

- This pertains to the number of PIP documents prepared/updated every end of the year.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of formulation/ updating of PIP documents that serve as guide for efficient resource allocation toward priority public investments.

Means of Verification:

- Published PIP, CIIP, TRIP (i.e., printing and/or publication in the NEDA website)
- Posting of RDIP and AIP in the NRO website
- Analysis of the resolutions/ minutes of meetings of the following: NEDA Board, INFRACOM, and RDCs

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 17

NAME OF PUBLIC INVESTMENT PROGRAM DOCUMENT	TARGET NUMBER OF PIP DOCUMENTS PREPARED	DATE OF SUBMISSION TO CONCERNED INTER-AGENCY BODIES	DOCUMENTS PREPARED/UPDATED AND SUBMITTED WITHIN THE YEAR (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/updating and submitting PIP documents within schedule</i>
1. Public Investment Program (PIP) (c/o PIS)	1 PIP by 1 st Quarter			
2. Three-Year Rolling Infrastructure Program (c/o IS)	1 TRIP by 1 st Quarter			

3. Regional Development Investment Programs (RDIPs) (c/o NROs)	15 draft updated RDIPs by 2 nd Quarter			Updated RDIP completed. For RDC approval this 4Q 2020
TOTAL NUMBER OF PIP DOCUMENTS PREPARED: 17		TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS SUBMITTED/PRESENTED WITHIN THE YEAR =		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of projects appraised within target deadline

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This pertains to the proportion of projects appraised within target deadline (e.g. projects costing Php 2.5 billion and above and with complete requirements appraised within 6 weeks and presented to the ICC-TB for deliberation). <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analysis of the minutes of the meetings NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine dates of receipt of project proposal and release of project evaluation reports. <p>Monitoring Mechanism:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 90%; Quarterly target: 90%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF PROJECT	PROPONENT AGENCY	DATE OF RECEIPT OF PROJECT PROPOSAL	DATE OF COMPLETION OF APPRAISAL	APPRAISED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not evaluating projects within target deadline</i>
1.					
2.					
3.					
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECTS APPRAISED:				TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECTS APPRAISED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE:	

* Refers to projects with complete submission of documents/information from proponent agencies. Only report projects that completed the appraisal during the period.

NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Adoption of Socio-Economic Report (SER) as basis for Budget Priorities Framework

Definition:

- This refers to the adoption of SER for the preparation of the Budget Priorities Framework.
- This indicator measures the improvement of formulating budget priorities based on lessons learned from implementation of the Philippine Development plan.

Means of verification:

- Analysis of SER and Budget Priorities Framework

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Analysis of SER and Budget Priorities Framework

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ADOPTED AS BASIS FOR BUDGET PRIORITIES FRAMEWORK (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
Socio-Economic Report (SER) (c/o NPPS)	The PDP Midterm Update will be adopted for BPF		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of requests for monitoring and evaluation (M&E) information for policy and decision-making made readily available to policy-makers and various stakeholders within prescribed period

Definition:

- This indicator measures the quality of NEDA's M&E services, specifically the preparation of documents/ communications providing M&E information to policy makers and various stakeholders (e.g. OP, PSA, DOF, COA, Congress, researchers, academe, government implementing agencies, providers of development cooperation, etc.)
- Computed as = (Total number of M&E Information made readily available to policy makers and various stakeholders within prescribed time / Total number of M&E requests) x 100

Means of verification:

- Document tracking system to determine date of receipt of request and release of response.

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the SPMS forms

2020 target: 100%; Quarterly target: 100%

(c/o MES and NROs)

NAME OF REQUEST FOR M&E INFORMATION	REQUESTING PARTY (EXTERNAL)	DATE OF RECEIPT OF REQUEST	DATE OF RELEASE	MADE READILY AVAILABLE WITHIN PRESCRIBED TIME (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
1. RPMES Overview	Candelaria LGU/PMC	October 20	October 22	Y	Presented during seminar as requested
2.					
3.					
TOTAL NUMBER OF M&E REQUESTS RECEIVED				TOTAL NUMBER M&E INFORMATION MADE READILY AVAILABLE TO POLICY-MAKERS AND VARIOUS STAKEHOLDERS WITHIN PRESCRIBED TIME =	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Percentage of agencies with problematic projects alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues

Definition:

- This indicator measures the assistance provided by NEDA to facilitate project implementation through the conduct of problem-solving sessions, one-on-one agency consultations, field validation visits, project steering committee meetings, project implementation reviews with development providers, and major fora such as regular PIO meetings, NPMC meetings, and RPMES fora.
- Computed as = (Total number of agencies alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues / Total number of agencies with problematic projects) x 100

Means of verification:

- Communications, follow-ups, field validation reports, [Problem-Solving Sessions \(PSS\)](#), and minutes of meetings with agencies

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the SPMS forms.
- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA.

2020 Target: 100% of agencies with problematic projects per quarter

(c/o MES and NROs)

NAME OF AGENCY WITH PROBLEMATIC PROJECTS	NAME OF PROGRAM/PROJECT WITH PROBLEMS/ISSUES	ALERTED/ASSISTED TO HASTEN OR PUT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION BACK ON TRACK AND/OR TO ADDRESS IMPLEMENTATION (Y for yes and N for no)	NAME AND DATE OF MEETING/ FORA / CONSULTATIONS/ VISIT CONDUCTED (Specify)	REMARKS
1. DOH IV-A/DPWH IV-A	Health Facilities Enhancement Program	Y	October 13	
2. DepEd/DPWH IV-A	School Building Program	Y	October 13	
3. DPWH IV-A	Lipa-Padre Garcia Diversion Road	Y	October 13	
TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENCIES WITH PROBLEMATIC PROJECTS:	3	TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENCIES ALERTED/ASSISTED TO HASTEN OR PUT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION BACK ON TRACK AND/OR TO ADDRESS IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES = 3		

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Number of socioeconomic assessment reports (SER and RDRs) prepared and released within schedule

- a. Socio-Economic Report (SER)
- b. Regional Development Report (RDR)

Definition:

- This refers to the number of socioeconomic assessment reports prepared and released within schedule.
- The annual SER serves as a tool in monitoring the achievement of the PDP targets. The RDR serves as a tool in monitoring the achievement of RDP objectives and targets.

Means of Verification:

- Published SER and guidelines
- Published RDRs

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Officer Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 16

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ACTUAL DATE OF RELEASE OF REPORT	REMARKS
a. 2019 Annual Regional Development Report (RDR) (c/o NROs)	15 RDRs for 2019 prepared and released by 3 rd Quarter		
b. 2020 Socioeconomic Report (SER) (c/o NPPS)	1 draft 2020 SER prepared by 4 th Quarter; 2019 assessment included in the PDP Midterm Update		
TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS PREPARED = 16	TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS PREPARED AND RELEASED WITHIN SCHEDULE=		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

One (1) annual report on the performance of Official Development Assistance (ODA) portfolio prepared and submitted to Congress on or before June 30 annually

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This refers to the timely submission to Congress of the outcome of the review of status of all projects financed by Official Development Assistance (ODA) as required by RA No. 8182. The following are the salient contents of the report: financial and physical performance of projects; project implementation issues; results (outputs and outcomes) derived from implementing programs and projects; status of recommendations made in previous reviews. <p>Means of Verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Published ODA Portfolio Review Report <p>Monitoring Mechanism:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ACTUAL DATE OF SUBMISSION	REMARKS
a. ODA Portfolio Review Report (c/o MES)	Prepared and submitted on or before June 30, 2020		
TOTAL = 1	TOTAL NUMBER OF REPORT PREPARED AND SUBMITTED ON OR BEFORE JUNE 30 =		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3

Percentage of programs/projects (i.e. ICC-approved programs/projects with complete requirements) re-evaluated within target deadline

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This pertains to the re-evaluation of projects within target deadline. This indicator measures the timeliness of project re-evaluations carried out by the NEDA evaluating units.

Means of verification:

- Minutes of ICC meetings
- Document tracking system to determine the dates of receipt of agency requests for project restructuring (e.g. extension, change in scope, etc.) and release of project evaluation reports

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 Target: At least 90% of re-evaluation requests processed; Quarterly target: At least 90% of requests processed

(c/o all Staffs and NROs)

LIST OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED	PROPONENT AGENCY	DATE OF RECEIPT OF AGENCY REQUEST FOR PROJECT RESTRUCTURING	DATE OF COMPLETION OF RE-EVALUATION	RE-EVALUATED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
1.					
2.					
3.					
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED:				TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE:	

MAJOR PROGRAMS/PROJECTS

NAME OF PROJECT	TARGET					ACCOMPLISHMENT					REMARKS
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	
General Administration and Support											
1. Purchase of motor vehicles (c/o Administrative Staff, NRO XII, and NRO XIII)											
2. Purchase of ICT equipment (c/o ICTS)											
Support to Operations											
3. Implementation of the											

Management Information System- NEDA Information Network Project, Phase IV (c/o ICTS)											
4. Various Infrastructure Projects (c/o NRO XII)											
Socioeconomic Policy and Planning Program											
5. Infrastructure Development Preparation Fund (c/o IS)											
6. Project Development and Other Related Studies Fund (c/o IS)											
7. Establishment of Innovation Fund (c/o TSIS)											
8. Provision of Secretariat Services to the National Innovation Council (c/o TSIS)											
9. Research and Development Fund (c/o Project Management Office)											
National Investment Programming Program											
10. Value Engineering/Value Analysis (VE/VA) Project (c/o IS)											
National Development Monitoring and Evaluation Program											
11. Monitoring and Evaluation Fund											

NARRATIVE ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT (c/o All Staffs and NROs)

(Option to upload MS word file or input in the comment box)

Socio-economic Policy and Planning

Gender and Development

The GAD Focal Point System (GFPS) and Men Opposed to Violence Everywhere (MOVE) are just two of the existing GAD mechanisms in NEDA Region IV-A. On October 2, 2020, the MOVE conducted its first reorganization meeting and the election of a new set of officers. The members also renewed their commitment to protecting women's rights and their advocacy to end violence against women and their children. On the same day, the NRO IV-A GFPS conducted a meeting in preparation for the 2021 GAD Planning and Budgeting and presentation of the action points generated from the Gender Mainstreaming Evaluation Framework Self-Assessment for 2019.

On October 29-30, technical assistance on Gender Responsive LGU Assessment Tool was provided for the GFPS members and representatives of various offices of the Provincial Government of Cavite.

BP2 Action Planning

The CALABARZON BP2 Committee conducted its first meeting via videoconference on October 23, 2020, to prepare the Regional BP2 Action Plan. The Action Plan will serve as a guide in planning, implementing, and monitoring programs and projects that will develop communities to become a highly-livable environment for immediate relocatees and voluntary migrants in the medium to long-term period. The Action Plan includes the list of proposed programs and projects under the BP2 Program's four key result areas: 1) empowerment of local industries; 2) food security and agricultural productivity; 3) housing, social welfare, health, employment, and human resource development; and 4) infrastructure development. The activity was attended by 163 participants from national and regional line agencies, local government units and the private sector.

AIL Dialogue

The Special Committee on Strengthening Academe-Industry Linkages conducted the Academe-Industry Dialogue for Automotive Industry on October 8 via Zoom videoconference. The activity was part of a series of dialogue for the five priority industries in the Region which aims to provide a venue for the representatives from the industries, academic institutions, and government offices to discuss the prevailing issues on jobs-skills matching and forming academe-industry partnerships and come up with viable recommendations to address the issues. The Dialogue was participated by 84 representatives from the academe, industry, and selected government offices.

Technical and Support Services

NRO IV-A facilitated the conduct of the orientation on establishment of CALABARZON justice zone. The orientation was attended by Sectoral Committee on Macroeconomy and Development Administration (SCMDA) Chairperson and representatives from DOJ-ORP, DOJ-PAO, DOJ-PPA, CHR, BJMP, PNP, DILG and NEDA Regional Offices. Resource persons were Associate Justice Maria Filomena D. Singh, the chairperson of the Justice Sector Coordinating Council (JSCC) TWG on Processes and Capacity Building, and Atty. Laura C.H. del Rosario, Deputy Clerk of Court and Judicial Reform Program Administrator of the Supreme Court – Project Management Office. Its activity served as a venue to orient partner agencies on the objectives, processes, criteria and roles in establishing a justice hub. The RDC-SCMDA initiated the creation of a Justice Zone, as a banner project to promote effective and efficient coordination among justice sector agencies to improve judicial processes. A Justice Zone is an area where the local justice agencies such as police, prosecutors, judges, public attorneys and managers of detention facilities work together to address cross cutting challenges on justice.

News Writing Training

On the training on News Writing, a total of 54 participants from NRO IV-A, provinces, and some regional agencies who are regular contributors to the RDC CALABARZON newsletter attended the training. The training was conducted to enhance the layout and design, and improve the quality of the articles to be featured in newsletter. The training received an outstanding rating of 4.51.

In line with the Balikabayanhan Campaign implementation in CALABARZON, the Committee on Migration and Development (CMD) through its Technical Working Group (TWG) in Reintegration collaborated with the University of Rizal System and the Atikha Overseas Workers and Communities Initiative, Inc. in conducting a capacity building to assist overseas Filipino workers (OFWs) affected by COVID-19. The said activity will be comprised of three phases wherein interested OFWs will be capacitated and mentored by experts to start their own business. Part of the capacity building is the conduct of webinars on the basics of how to start a business, business opportunities in the region, and available technical and financial assistance that can be availed to start a business. Phase I was conducted on October 6-7 while Phase II was conducted on October 20-21. These webinars were attended by more than 200 OFWs in the Philippines and abroad.

Investment Programming

Taal Investment Programming and Project Proposal Preparation

The CALABARZON Regional Development Council, through the NEDA Region IV-A, conducted a workshop on October 13, 2020, to determine the status of the proposed projects included in the Investment Program of the Rehabilitation and Recovery Program for Taal Volcano Eruption Affected Areas. The activity includes the presentation of concerned agencies of the validated proposed projects for their funding and the requirements for the LGU proposed projects to be included in the agency budget proposal. The activity was followed by a workshop on the preparation of NDRRMF Project Proposal conducted by NEDA Region IV-A, in partnership with OCD-Rehabilitation and Recovery Management System and OCD Region IV-A on October 14, 2020. The workshop aims to facilitate funding of agency and LGU proposed projects in the Taal RRP for NDRRM funding.

Luzon Inter-Regional Connectivity Projects

NRO IV-A uploaded in MS Teams Sharepoint the list of Luzon Inter-Regional Connectivity Projects and the Luzon NROs can now easily update the status of their respective projects. The online monitoring of status and updating of the projects ensures timely reporting during the Luzon RDCom meetings.

Local Public Transport Route Plan (LPTRP) Conference

Preparatory works for the conduct of LPTRP Conference on November 20 are ongoing. A small group meeting with CDA, LTO, LTFRB, DILG, among others was conducted to get a grip on the issues on LPTRP preparation and initiate buy in of inter-agency initiative to facilitate/expedite preparation of LPTRPs by LGUs and assistance from agencies.

NRO IV-A conducted initial coordination and project facilitation of the proposed Marinduque-Quezon Expressway Bridge.

The IPG activities and projects on directory assistance for LGUs and database portal/platform for government online services is in finalization stage.

Monitoring and Evaluation

SDG Report Validation Workshop

Validation workshop was conducted for the SDG Report Infrastructure Sector on October 15. The workshop aimed to validate the draft SDG Report and identify next steps for the preparation of the said report. A total of 19 participants composed of seven regional line agencies were present. The economic, social and governance sectors also conducted the validation workshops on October 15 and 16.

Problem-Solving Sessions (PSS)

The Regional Project Monitoring Committee conducted PSS for three infrastructure projects on October 13, namely, School Building Program, Health Facilities Enhancement Program, and Lipa-Padre Garcia Diversion Road. The PSS aimed to discuss the project issues and recommend actions. The concerned agencies were requested to provide updates on the agreements during the PSS.

2020 Search for Outstanding Local Project Monitoring Committee (SOLPMC)

The RPMC conducted the evaluation for the 2020 Outstanding LPMCs. The SOLPMC is an annual activity of the RPMC that aims to motivate, strengthen and sustain the LPMCs in the region at the provincial, city and municipal levels. The Evaluation Committee conducted the validation of documents submitted by the nominees on October 22. The Committee conducted a meeting on October 27 to finalize the evaluation results. The successful awardees will be endorsed during the RPMC meeting on November 5.

Coordination Meeting for the Proposed Masterplan of Priority Roads of the Polilio Group of Islands (PoGI) Road Network Development Project

Sectoral Committee on Infrastructure Development secretariat facilitated the coordination meeting of DPWH Region IV-A with NEDA and the project consultants on October 7. The meeting aimed to solicit comments for the enhancement of the masterplan study. One of the main objectives of the study is to identify priority road network projects for the 3 major islands namely, Polillo, Patnanungan, and Jomalig.

Prepared by:



CHERRY C. VILLEGAS

Signature over Printed Name/Date

Approved by:



LUIS G. BANUA

Signature over Printed Name/ Date