



REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES

NATIONAL ECONOMIC AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
REGION IV-A (CALABARZON)

MEMORANDUM

FOR : **DIR. MA. MONICA P. PAGUNSAN**
Financial Planning and Management Staff

SUBJECT : **Submission of NEDA Region IV-A 2020 Monthly Physical Report of Operations**

DATE : December 7, 2020

This is to submit the attached NRO IV-A 2020 Physical Report of Operation for the month of November.

For consideration. Thank you and best regards.

For the Regional Director:

GINA T. GACUSAN
Assistant Regional Director

FAD/mec

2020 MONTHLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION
Details of Accomplishment
Template

STAFF / REGIONAL OFFICE: NRO IV-A

Period covered by the Report: November 2020

SOCIOECONOMIC POLICY AND PLANNING PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Percentage of policy recommendations adopted

Definition:

- This refers to the proportion of policy recommendations that were adopted by decision-makers – The President, the Cabinet, and the Congress. Policies refer to government decisions, courses of action, and positions on various concerns relating to NEDA’s mandate that are issued to respond to specific problems or concerns. Policies can be in the form of official pronouncement or statements, administrative orders, memorandum circulars, board resolutions, implementing rules and regulations, and other related issuances. The term “adopted” refers to acceptance of policy recommendation, as manifested by its inclusion or incorporation in a policy issuance.
- This indicator measures the quality of analyses of development issues and policy alternatives provided to said decision makers.

Means of Verification:

- Analysis of the following documents against policy recommendations provided: [proposed laws](#), [enacted laws](#) and their implementing rules and regulations; executive issuances (circular, orders, official pronouncement or statements, board resolutions, [memoranda for the President](#), [policy briefs/ notes](#), and other related issuances)

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Policy recommendations approved by the Secretary
- Computed as = (Total number of policy recommendations adopted by decision makers / Total number of policy recommendations prepared and reviewed) x 100

2020 target: 85.7%; Quarterly target: 85.7%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs concerned)

Note: A data field for reporting actual accomplishment relative to the *outcome indicator* “Percentage of policy recommendations adopted” is already incorporated in the table/matrix for reporting accomplishment relative to the output indicator “Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion”.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2

Percentage of agenda items related to the Plans for NEDA Board Committees where NEDA is the secretariat

Definition:

- This refers to the percentage share of Plan-related concerns' inclusion as agenda items to the total number of agenda items developed by NEDA as Secretariat and adopted by the NEDA Board Committees (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP).
- Plans refer to the following, among others: Philippine Development Plan (PDP), Regional Development Plans (RDP), Area Spatial Development Framework (ASDF) plans, Regional Spatial Development Framework (RDSF) plans, National Physical Framework Plan (NPFP), National Priority Plan (NPP), and other plans that NEDA is tasked to prepare in accordance with pronouncements and priorities of the President, laws, rules and regulations, and executive issuances in the future.

Means of Verification:

- Analysis of the following documents: NEDA Board Committees' resolution, minutes of meetings of NEDA Board Committees and its Other Committees (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP)

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
- Computed as = (Total number of Plan-related concerns included as agenda items / Total number of agenda items adopted by NEDA Board Committees) x 100

2020 Target: 93%; Quarterly target: 93%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs)

LIST OF PLAN-RELATED CONCERNS INCLUDED AS AGENDA ITEMS	NAME OF NEDA BOARD COMMITTEE (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP) (e.g. SDC, INFRACOM)	DATE OF MEETING	LIST OF AGENDA ITEMS ADOPTED BY NEDA BOARD COMMITTEES (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP)	REMARKS
1. Balik Probinsya, Bagong Pag-asa Action Plan	CALABARZON Regional BP ² Committee	November 19, 2020		To be approved by CALABARZON RDC on December 10, 2020
TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAN-RELATED CONCERNS INCLUDED AS AGENDA ITEMS: 1			TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENDA ITEMS ADOPTED BY THE NEDA BOARD COMMITTEES =	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

- a. NEDA Board
- b. NEDA Board Committees:
 - 1. Social Development Committee
 - 2. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters
 - 3. National Land Use Committee
 - 4. Regional Development Committee
- c. Other Inter-Agency Committees
- d. Regional Development Councils

Definition:

- This refers to the average satisfaction rating of members of NEDA Board, Social Development Committee (SDC), Committee on Tariff and Related Matters (CTRM), National Land Use Committee (NLUC), Infrastructure Committee (INFRACOM), Regional Development Committee (RDCom), other Inter-Agency-Committees (IACs), and Regional Development Councils (RDCs) with the completeness and accuracy of meeting documents prepared by the NEDA Secretariat.
- This indicator measures quality of NEDA’s technical secretariat services provided, specifically on setting the agenda and preparation of meeting documents (highlights of meetings, presentation materials, etcetera).

Means of verification:

- Accomplished surveys/ questionnaires / [evaluation sheets](#)

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reports during the next NEDA Board, SDC, INFRACOM, CTRM, NLUC, Other IAC, RDCom and RDC Meetings
- Computed as average of all client satisfaction rating of members per meeting

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
NEDA Board (c/o LS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
NEDA Board Committees:			
1. Social Development Committee (c/o SDS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
2. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters (c/o TSIS)	At least a 2.5/5 or 50% (Satisfactory) average rating		
3. National Land Use Committee (c/o RDS)	At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating		
4. Regional Development Committee (c/o RDS)	At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
Other Inter-Agency Committees	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
a. Philippine Council for Sustainable Development (c/o ANRES)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
b. Mining Industry Coordinating Council (c/o ANRES)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
c. MC-IHDC (c/o SDS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
d. IAC on Trade in Services (c/o TSIS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
e. EDC (c/o TSIS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
f. IAC-DA (c/o GovS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
g. FSC on Rice (c/o ANRES)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
h. SC-IMD (c/o NPPS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
i. TWG-Macro (c/o NPPS)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
j. TWG-EG	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
Regional Development Councils	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
1. RDC CAR (c/o NRO CAR)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
2. RDC I (c/o NRO I)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
3. RDC II (c/o NRO II)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
4. RDC III (c/o NRO III)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
5. RDC IV-A (c/o NRO IV-A)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
6. RDC MIMAROPA (c/o NRO MIMAROPA)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
7. RDC V (c/o NRO V)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
8. RDC VI (c/o NRO VI)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
9. RDC VII (c/o NRO VII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
10. RDC VIII (c/o NRO VIII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
11. RDC IX (c/o NRO IX)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
12. RDC X (c/o NRO X)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
13. RDC XI (c/o NRO XI)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
14. RDC XII (c/o NRO XII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
15. RDC XIII (c/o NRO XIII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL:	
--------------------------------	--

DATE OF MEETING	NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL MEMBER	SATISFACTION RATING GIVEN BY MEMBER	Remarks
November 11, 2020	Sectoral Committee on Social Development/Social Development (37 mems.)	4.54	
November 24, 2020	Special Committee on Sustainable Development Goals (48 mems.)	4.74	
November 26, 2020	Regional Land Use Committee (27 mems.)	4.57	
November 19, 2020	CALABARZON RDC-BP ² Program Committee (24 mems.)	4.43	
November 19, 2020	CALABARZON RDC-PSRs (27 mems.)	n/a	
November 10, 2020	Sectoral Committee on Macroeconomy and Development Administration (32 mems.)	4.73	
November 17, 2020	Sectoral Committee on Economic Development (36 mems.)	4.47	
November 18, 2020	Investment Promotion Group (27 mems.)	4.48	
November 5, 2020	Regional Project Monitoring Committee (6 mems)	4.47	
November 18, 2020	Sectoral Committee on Infrastructure Dev't. (24 mems.)	4.47	

	Count of Members: 288 members	Sum of Satisfaction Ratings: 40.9	
		Average Satisfaction Rating: 4.54	

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion

Definition:

- This refers to the proportion of total number of requests that were reviewed within 10 working days from date of receipt or within the date indicated by requesting party.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of conduct of short-term policy reviews. An increase of this indicator is a sign of progress on the provision of timely analyses of development issues and policy alternatives to decision-makers – the President, the Cabinet, and the Congress.

Means of verification:

- NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine date of acceptance of request and release of response; and
- Document analysis

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
- Computed as = $(\text{Total number of policy recommendations prepared/ reviewed within required date and/or time of completion} / \text{Total number of policy recommendations prepared and reviewed}) \times 100$

2020 target: 97%; Quarterly target: 97%

External Request (c/o all Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF POLICY REQUESTS (Specify the policies requested for review)	REQUESTING PARTY (Senate/ HOR/ NEDA Board Committees/ RDCs, etc.)	DATE RECEIVE D BY NEDA	DEADLINE OF REQUEST	ACTUAL DATE OF SUBMISSI ON	PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION (Y for Yes and N for No)	ADOPTED BY DECISION MAKERS (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/ reviewing policy requests within required date and/or time of completion / reason why policy recommendations were not adopted by decision makers</i>
1.							
TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS					TOTAL NO. OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED/REVIEWED	TOTAL NO. OF POLICY RECOMMENDAT	

PREPARED AND REVIEWED:					WITHIN THE REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION =	IONS ADOPTED BY DECISION MAKERS =	
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

Internal Request *(c/o all Staffs and NROs)*

NAME OF POLICY REQUESTS (Specify the policies requested for review)	REQUESTING PARTY (Staffs/NROs, e.g. SDS, NRO 3, etc.)	PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/reviewing policy requests within required date and/or time of completion</i>
1. 2. 3.			
TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED AND REVIEWED:		TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN THE REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION:	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Number of plans prepared/updated and submitted within schedule to NEDA Board, RDCom, NLUC, RDCs, and/or Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning, respectively, for approval

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This refers to the count of planning documents prepared/ updated, and submitted within schedule to the NEDA Board, Regional Development Committee (RDCom), National Land Use Committee (NLUC), Regional Development Councils (RDCs), and/or the Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning. This indicator measures the timeliness of preparation/ updating, and submission of planning documents that serve as guide in the formulation and implementation of policies, programs and projects. Plans refer to the following, among others: Philippine Development Plan (PDP), Regional Development Plans (RDP), Area Spatial Development Framework (ASDF) plans, Regional Spatial Development Framework (RDSF) plans, National Physical Framework Plan (NPPF), National Priority Plan (NPP), and other plans that NEDA is tasked to prepare in accordance with pronouncements and priorities of the President, laws, rules and regulations, and executive issuances in the future. <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analysis of the following documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NEDA Board resolutions RDC resolutions Minutes of meetings of NEDA Board and its Committees, and Technical Committee on the NPP, RDCs and its Committees Planning Guidelines and schedules for the preparation of plans <p>Monitoring Mechanism:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 Target: 17 total (1 Results Matrices [RM], 7 RDPs, 2 RSDFs, 6 RPFs, 1 NPP)

NAME OF PLANNING DOCUMENT	TARGET NUMBER OF PLANS PREPARED/UPDATED	DATE OF SUBMISSION/PRESENTATION TO SECRETARY OF SOCIOECONOMIC PLANNING/NEDA BOARD/ RDCom/ NLUC/RDCs	PLANS PREPARED/UPDATED AND FINALIZED WITHIN SCHEDULE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not submitting/presenting the plans within schedule</i>
1. Results Matrices (RM) Compendium (c/o MES)	1 updated RM compendium by 1st Quarter			
2. Regional Development Plans (RDPs) (c/o NROs 1, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, CARAGA)	7 RDPs by 2 nd Quarter			
3. Regional Spatial Development Frameworks (RSDFs) (c/o NROs 11, 12)	2 RSDFs by 4 th Quarter			
4. Regional Physical Framework Plan (RFP) (c/o NROs CAR, 3, 5, 7, 8, CARAGA)	6 RPFs by 4 th Quarter			
5. National Priority Plan (NPP) (c/o SDS)	1 NPP by 1 st Quarter			
TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS PREPARED: 17	TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS SUBMITTED/PRESENTED WITHIN SCHEDULE =			

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Number of economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This refers to the count of the following economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period (i.e., month, quarter, semester, and/or year): (a) monthly report on Trade, (b) monthly report on Consumer Price Index, (c) monthly Integrated Survey of Selected Industries, (e) quarterly report on National Accounts, (f) quarterly report on Labor and Employment, (g) annual report on Poverty Statistics. This indicator measures the timeliness dimension of preparation of economic reports. An increase of this indicator is a sign of progress on the provision of timely economic information. <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine date of acceptance of request and release of response; and Document analysis <p>Monitoring Mechanism:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Officer Performance Commitments.

2020 Target: 44

NAME OF ECONOMIC REPORT:	TARGET					ACCOMPLISHMENT					PREPARED AND SUBMITTED ON OR BEFORE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing the reports within the prescribed period</i>
	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
a. National Accounts (c/o NPPS)	4	1	1	1	1							
b. Trade (c/o NPPS)	12	3	3	3	3							
c. Consumer Price Index (c/o NPPS)	12	3	3	3	3							
d. Labor and Employment (c/o NPPS)	4	1	1	1	1							
e. Monthly Integrated Survey of Selected Industries (c/o TSIS)	12	3	3	3	3							
TOTAL NUMBER OF ECONOMIC REPORTS =	44	11	11	11	11						NUMBER OF ECONOMIC REPORTS PREPARED ON OR BEFORE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS=	

NAME OF REPORT	PERIOD COVERED	DATE OF PREPARATION	DATE OF RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS

NATIONAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMMING PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

- a. Investment Coordination Committee
- b. Infrastructure Committee
- c. Other Inter-Agency Committees

Definition:

- This refers to the average satisfaction rating of members of Investment Coordination Committee (ICC) and Infrastructure Committee (INFRACOM)

with the completeness and accuracy of meeting documents prepared by NEDA Secretariat.

- This indicator measures quality of NEDA's technical secretariat services provided, specifically the preparation of meeting documents (memorandum, evaluation report, presentation materials, letters, minutes, among others).

Means of verification:

- Accomplished surveys/questionnaires/ [evaluation sheets](#)

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reporting during ICC and INFRACOM meetings

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
Investment Coordination Committee (c/o PIS)	At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating		
Infrastructure Committee (c/o IS)	At least a 5/5 or 100% (Outstanding) average rating		
Other Inter-Agency Committees	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
1. NPMC (c/o MES)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
2. Inter-agency Committee on Project Management and Monitoring (IACPM/ PIO System (c/o MES)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
3. IATCP (c/o IS)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
4. INFRACOM-SCWR (c/o IS)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE:

DATE OF MEETING	NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL MEMBER	RATING GIVEN BY MEMBER	Remarks
	Count of Members:	Sum of Satisfaction Ratings:	
		Average Satisfaction Rating:	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of programs and projects approved by the ICC included in the PIP

Definition:

- This pertains to the proportion of programs and projects approved by the ICC which are included in the Public Investment Program (document).
- This indicator measures the responsiveness of programs and projects to the priorities of Government. An increase of this indicator is a sign that programs and projects approved by the ICC are aligned with the priorities of the PDP/RM.
- Computed as = (Total number of programs and projects included in the PIP / Total number of programs and projects approved by the ICC) x 100

Means of verification:

- Analysis of the following documents:
- PIP document
- List of ICC-approved programs and projects

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reports during NEDA Board and ICC meetings
- Accomplishments integrated in the Office Performance Commitments
-

2020 target: 90%; Quarterly target: 90%

(c/o PIS)

PROGRAM/ PROJECT APPROVED BY THE ICC	DATE OF APPROVAL BY THE ICC	INCLUDED IN THE PIP (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not evaluating projects within target deadline</i>
1.			
2.			
3.			
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY THE ICC		TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY ICC THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THE PIP:	

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Number of annual/medium-term public investment program documents prepared/updated and submitted every end of the year to the concerned inter-agency bodies for appropriate action

- a. PIP
- b. TRIP
- c. RDIP
- d. AIP

Definition:

- This pertains to the number of PIP documents prepared/updated every end of the year.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of formulation/ updating of PIP documents that serve as guide for efficient resource allocation toward priority public investments.

Means of Verification:

- Published PIP, CIIP, TRIP (i.e., printing and/or publication in the NEDA website)
- Posting of RDIP and AIP in the NRO website
- Analysis of the resolutions/ minutes of meetings of the following: NEDA Board, INFRACOM, and RDCs

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 17

NAME OF PUBLIC INVESTMENT PROGRAM DOCUMENT	TARGET NUMBER OF PIP DOCUMENTS PREPARED	DATE OF SUBMISSION TO CONCERNED INTER-AGENCY BODIES	DOCUMENTS PREPARED/UPDATED AND SUBMITTED WITHIN THE YEAR (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/updating and submitting PIP documents within schedule</i>
1. Public Investment Program (PIP) (c/o PIS)	1 PIP by 1 st Quarter			
2. Three-Year Rolling Infrastructure Program (c/o IS)	1 TRIP by 1 st Quarter			
3. Regional Development Investment Programs (RDIPs) (c/o NROs)	15 draft updated RDIPs by 2 nd Quarter			Updated RDIP completed. For RDC approval this 4Q 2020 on December 10.
TOTAL NUMBER OF PIP DOCUMENTS PREPARED: 17		TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS SUBMITTED/PRESENTED WITHIN THE YEAR =		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of projects appraised within target deadline

Definition:

- This pertains to the proportion of projects appraised within target deadline (e.g. projects costing Php 2.5 billion and above and with complete requirements appraised within 6 weeks and presented to the ICC-TB for deliberation).

Means of verification:

- Analysis of the minutes of the meetings
- NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine dates of receipt of project proposal and release of project

evaluation reports.

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 90%; Quarterly target: 90%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF PROJECT	PROPONENT AGENCY	DATE OF RECEIPT OF PROJECT PROPOSAL	DATE OF COMPLETION OF APPRAISAL	APPRAISED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not evaluating projects within target deadline</i>
1. Center for Cave Ecosystems Research	UPLB-Museum of Natural History	November 17, 2020	November 27, 2020	Y	
2.					
3.					
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECTS APPRAISED: 1				TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECTS APPRAISED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE: 1	

* Refers to projects with complete submission of documents/information from proponent agencies. Only report projects that completed the appraisal during the period.

NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Adoption of Socio-Economic Report (SER) as basis for Budget Priorities Framework

Definition:

- This refers to the adoption of SER for the preparation of the Budget Priorities Framework.
- This indicator measures the improvement of formulating budget priorities based on lessons learned from implementation of the Philippine Development plan.

Means of verification:

- Analysis of SER and Budget Priorities Framework

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Analysis of SER and Budget Priorities Framework

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ADOPTED AS BASIS FOR BUDGET PRIORITIES FRAMEWORK (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
Socio-Economic Report (SER) (c/o NPPS)	The PDP Midterm Update will be adopted for BPF		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of requests for monitoring and evaluation (M&E) information for policy and decision-making made readily available to policy-makers and various stakeholders within prescribed period

Definition:

- This indicator measures the quality of NEDA's M&E services, specifically the preparation of documents/ communications providing M&E information to policy makers and various stakeholders (e.g. OP, PSA, DOF, COA, Congress, researchers, academe, government implementing agencies, providers of development cooperation, etc.)
- Computed as = (Total number of M&E Information made readily available to policy makers and various stakeholders within prescribed time / Total number of M&E requests) x 100

Means of verification:

- Document tracking system to determine date of receipt of request and release of response.

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the SPMS forms

2020 target: 100%; Quarterly target: 100%

(c/o MES and NROs)

NAME OF REQUEST FOR M&E INFORMATION	REQUESTING PARTY (EXTERNAL)	DATE OF RECEIPT OF REQUEST	DATE OF RELEASE	MADE READILY AVAILABLE WITHIN PRESCRIBED TIME (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
1. RPMES Overview	Nagcarlan LGU/PMC	November 10	November 18	Y	Presented during seminar as requested
2.					
3.					
TOTAL NUMBER OF M&E REQUESTS RECEIVED					TOTAL NUMBER M&E INFORMATION MADE READILY AVAILABLE TO POLICY-

			MAKERS AND VARIOUS STAKEHOLDERS WITHIN PRESCRIBED TIME =	
--	--	--	---	--

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Percentage of agencies with problematic projects alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues

Definition:

- This indicator measures the assistance provided by NEDA to facilitate project implementation through the conduct of problem-solving sessions, one-on-one agency consultations, field validation visits, project steering committee meetings, project implementation reviews with development providers, and major fora such as regular PIO meetings, NPMC meetings, and RPMES fora.
- Computed as = (Total number of agencies alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues / Total number of agencies with problematic projects) x 100

Means of verification:

- Communications, follow-ups, field validation reports, [Problem-Solving Sessions \(PSS\)](#), and minutes of meetings with agencies

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the SPMS forms.
- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA.

2020 Target: 100% of agencies with problematic projects per quarter

(c/o MES and NROs)

NAME OF AGENCY WITH PROBLEMATIC PROJECTS	NAME OF PROGRAM/PROJECT WITH PROBLEMS/ISSUES	ALERTED/ASSISTED TO HASTEN OR PUT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION BACK ON TRACK AND/OR TO ADDRESS IMPLEMENTATION (Y for yes and N for no)	NAME AND DATE OF MEETING/ FORA / CONSULTATIONS/ VISIT CONDUCTED (Specify)	REMARKS
1.				
2.				
3.				
TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENCIES WITH PROBLEMATIC PROJECTS:		TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENCIES ALERTED/ASSISTED TO HASTEN OR PUT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION BACK ON TRACK AND/OR TO ADDRESS IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES =		

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Number of socioeconomic assessment reports (SER and RDRs) prepared and released within schedule

- a. Socio-Economic Report (SER)
- b. Regional Development Report (RDR)

Definition:

- This refers to the number of socioeconomic assessment reports prepared and released within schedule.
- The annual SER serves as a tool in monitoring the achievement of the PDP targets. The RDR serves as a tool in monitoring the achievement of RDP objectives and targets.

Means of Verification:

- Published SER and guidelines
- Published RDRs

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Officer Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 16

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ACTUAL DATE OF RELEASE OF REPORT	REMARKS
a. 2019 Annual Regional Development Report (RDR) (c/o NROs)	15 RDRs for 2019 prepared and released by 3 rd Quarter		
b. 2020 Socioeconomic Report (SER) (c/o NPPS)	1 draft 2020 SER prepared by 4 th Quarter; 2019 assessment included in the PDP Midterm Update		
TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS PREPARED = 16	TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS PREPARED AND RELEASED WITHIN SCHEDULE=		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

One (1) annual report on the performance of Official Development Assistance (ODA) portfolio prepared and submitted to Congress on or before June 30 annually

Definition:

- This refers to the timely submission to Congress of the outcome of the review of status of all projects financed by Official Development Assistance (ODA) as required by RA No. 8182.
- The following are the salient contents of the report: financial and physical performance of projects; project implementation issues; results (outputs and

outcomes) derived from implementing programs and projects; status of recommendations made in previous reviews.

Means of Verification:

- Published ODA Portfolio Review Report

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ACTUAL DATE OF SUBMISSION	REMARKS
a. ODA Portfolio Review Report (c/o MES)	Prepared and submitted on or before June 30, 2020		
TOTAL = 1	TOTAL NUMBER OF REPORT PREPARED AND SUBMITTED ON OR BEFORE JUNE 30 =		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3

Percentage of programs/projects (i.e. ICC-approved programs/projects with complete requirements) re-evaluated within target deadline

Definition:

- This pertains to the re-evaluation of projects within target deadline.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of project re-evaluations carried out by the NEDA evaluating units.

Means of verification:

- Minutes of ICC meetings
- Document tracking system to determine the dates of receipt of agency requests for project restructuring (e.g. extension, change in scope, etc.) and release of project evaluation reports

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 Target: At least 90% of re-evaluation requests processed; Quarterly target: At least 90% of requests processed

(c/o all Staffs and NROs)

LIST OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED	PROPONENT AGENCY	DATE OF RECEIPT OF AGENCY REQUEST FOR PROJECT RESTRUCTURING	DATE OF COMPLETION OF RE-EVALUATION	RE-EVALUATED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
1.					
2.					
3.					
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED:				TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE:	

MAJOR PROGRAMS/PROJECTS

NAME OF PROJECT	TARGET					ACCOMPLISHMENT					REMARKS
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	
General Administration and Support											
1. Purchase of motor vehicles (c/o Administrative Staff, NRO XII, and NRO XIII)											
2. Purchase of ICT equipment (c/o ICTS)											
Support to Operations											
3. Implementation of the Management Information System- NEDA Information Network Project, Phase IV (c/o ICTS)											
4. Various Infrastructure Projects (c/o NRO XII)											
Socioeconomic Policy and Planning Program											
5. Infrastructure Development Preparation											

Fund (c/o IS)											
6. Project Development and Other Related Studies Fund (c/o IS)											
7. Establishment of Innovation Fund (c/o TSIS)											
8. Provision of Secretariat Services to the National Innovation Council (c/o TSIS)											
9. Research and Development Fund (c/o Project Management Office)											
National Investment Programming Program											
10. Value Engineering/Value Analysis (VE/VA) Project (c/o IS)											
National Development Monitoring and Evaluation Program											
11. Monitoring and Evaluation Fund											

NARRATIVE ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT (c/o All Staffs and NROs)

(Option to upload MS word file or input in the comment box)

Socio Economic Policy and Planning

Priority Activities/Programs of the Sectoral Committee on Social Development/Social Protection

The Sectoral Committee on Social Development/Social Protection (SCSD/SP) supported the declaration of adolescent pregnancy as a national health emergency in 201 through a resolution to the RDC, which the RDC approved on December 18, 2019. To operationalize the RDC resolution, a regional adolescent pregnancy action plan was prepared by a technical working group being led by the Commission on Population and Development Region IV-A.

Aside from the adolescent pregnancy action plan, the SCSD/SP also spearheads several banner projects which include adopt-a-municipality (AAM) by State Universities and Colleges (SUCs). AAM aims to help municipalities with high poverty incidence by contributing to poverty reduction through the various extension programs and services to communities provided by the SUCs. With the pandemic, the SUCs were limited in terms of mobility and in providing assistance to communities. To address this concern, a resolution supporting the conduct of AAM by SUCs activities was endorsed by the SCSD/SP to the RDC on November 11, 2020. This resolution also recommended for faculty and extension workers to be considered as authorized persons outside of residence and for DILG to issue a memo to LGUs considering the recommendations.

Regional Task Force-Recovery Cluster RAP Phase III Workshop

The Recovery Cluster of the Regional Task Force against COVID-19 conducted a workshop on November 27, 2020, via Zoom videoconference to prepare the Regional Action Plan (RAP) Phase III which outline the programs, projects, and activities (PPAs) for the gradual reopening of the economy from October 2020 to March 2021. The Recovery Cluster under the RAP Phase III aims to restart social and economic activities while engaging all of society in preventing the spread and mitigating the ill-effects of COVID-19.

The workshop was participated by representatives from 19 government agencies, local government units, and private organizations.

Technical Support

NCCA-RDC Webinar on Filipino Culture and Values in Time of Pandemic

The National Commission for Culture and the Arts (NCCA) in partnership with the CALABARZON Regional Development Council (RDC), through the Sub-Committee on Culture, spearheaded the conduct of a webinar entitled “A Culture that Heals: NCCA-RDC Webinar on Filipino Culture and Values in Time of Pandemic”, on November 17, 2020, via Zoom videoconference. The webinar focused on the important role of culture and values in recovering from the impacts of COVID-19 pandemic and disasters.

The activity was attended by around 130 participants from the LGUs, and academe, cultural mappers, private sector representatives, and members of RDC and Regional Task Force to End Local Communist Armed Conflict.

Tagaytay City Urban Carrying Capacity (UCC) Project

NEDA Region IV-A continued with the implementation of the project study. The following activities were conducted: 1) Training on the Methodology on Estimating the UCC; and 2) Regional Dissemination Forum.

Aside from the presentation of the results of the study during the Public Consultation held on October 30, a Regional Dissemination Forum was conducted November 25. Both activities were participated by local officials and planners from the provincial and city government, regional line agencies, academe and private sector. NEDA Region IV-A through the REECS and Tractebel Consultants also conducted a Training on the Methodology in Estimating the Tagaytay UCC to local planners and the academe on November 17-18, 2020. The training was aimed at for the participants to appreciate the methodologies for possible adoption in their development and land use planning. These activities received outstanding ratings (4.52 and 4.56).

NEDA Region IV-A facilitated the conduct of the Search for Outstanding COVID-19 Volunteer (SOCV) from October to November 6 in support to the annual activity of the PNVSCA. The SOCV aims to recognize and award volunteer individuals who have made significant contributions in addressing the challenges brought by the COVID-19 pandemic. The CALABARZON Search for Outstanding Volunteer-Regional Search Committee composed of CSC Region IV, DILG Region IV-A, PIA Region IV-A, and NEDA Region IV-A evaluated and rated a total of 35 nominees. The validation meetings were conducted on November 16 and 20, wherein the ratings of the nominees were confirmed. The SOV-RSC identified 22 nominees for general recognition and 3 nominees for outstanding recognition. The interview of the outstanding volunteers was conducted on November 24.

NEDA Region IV-A led the celebration of the Economic and Financial Literacy (EFL) Week by facilitating the conduct of the EFL Forum on November 27, 2020 via telecon. The event was participated by students from state universities and colleges, regional line agencies, private sector representatives, and local government unit representatives. Presentations include: 1) “Recharge PH” by NEDA Governance Staff Director Thelma Manuel; 2) “Accelerating Philippine Digital Transformation” by Mr. Edriel Miranda of DICT FOO-Luzon Cluster 2; and 3) Digital Finance and On-line Fraud Protection by Ms. Marie Kristine Pajarillo of BSP-Lucena Branch.

Investment Programming

Center on Cave Ecosystems Research

NEDA Region IV-A prepared the project evaluation report on this project of UPLB-Museum of Natural History. The project is proposed for funding under the DOST’s NICER Program. It will serve as the center for research and development on cave biodiversity assessment and conservation in the Philippines with initial target sites in provinces within CALABARZON with unprotected karst ecosystem, namely: i) Cabag Cave, Maragodon, Cavite; ii) Cathedral Cave, Cavinti, Laguna; iii) Alegre Cave, San Juan, Batangas; iv) Pamitinan Cave, Rodriguez, Rizal; and v) Sungwan Cave, Tayabas, Quezon. This will provide benchmark information on the diversity and ecology of caves in the Philippines.

Local Public Transport Route Plan (LPTRP) Conference

NEDA Region IV-A conducted the Local Public Transport Route Plan (LPTRP) Conference on November 20, 2020 via Zoom video conference to facilitate and expedite the preparation, review and approval of the LPTRPs in the region. It was attended by official and representatives from RDC, NGAs, AROs, and LGUs.

Project Monitoring

NEDA Region IV-A prepared and consolidated Q3 RPMES reports for submission to NEDA CO-MES. Also, uploaded Q3 project updates in the online Calabarzon Project Monitoring System.

Conducted evaluation and recommended awardees for the 2020 Search for Outstanding Local Project Monitoring Committee (SOLPMC).

NEDA IV-A conducted RPMES orientation seminar to the LGU of Candelaria to capacitate their local Project Monitoring Committee.

Prepared by:



CHERRY. C VILLEGAS

Signature over Printed Name/12/06/2020

Approved by:



LUIS G. BANUA

12/7/2020

Signature over Printed Name/ Date