



Republic of the Philippines

National Economic and Development Authority

Region IV-A (CALABARZON)

MEMORANDUM

FOR : **MA. MONICA P. PAGUNSAN**
Assistant Secretary
Financial, Planning and Management Staff

FROM : **NRO IV-A**

SUBJECT : **Submission of MPRO for February 2021**

DATE : 02 March 2021

This is to submit the Monthly Physical Report of Operation for the month of February 2021.

Thank you and best regards.

FOR THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR:

GINA T. GACUSAN
Assistant Regional Director

FAD/mec

Submission of MPRO for February 2021

Page 1 of 1

2021 MONTHLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION
Details of Accomplishment
Template

STAFF / REGIONAL OFFICE: NRO IV-A

Period covered: February 2021

SOCIOECONOMIC POLICY AND PLANNING PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1

Percentage of policy recommendations adopted

Definition:

- This refers to the proportion of policy recommendations that were adopted by decision-makers – the President, the Cabinet, and the Congress. Policies refer to government decisions, courses of action, and positions on various concerns relating to NEDA’s mandate that are issued to respond to specific problems or concerns. Policies can be in the form of official pronouncement or statements, administrative orders, memorandum circulars, resolutions, implementing rules and regulations, and other related issuances. The term “adopted” refers to acceptance of policy recommendation, as manifested by its inclusion or incorporation in a policy issuance.
- This indicator measures the quality of analyses of development issues and policy alternatives provided to said decision makers.

Means of Verification:

- Analysis of the following documents against policy recommendations provided: proposed laws, enacted laws and their implementing rules and regulations; executive issuances (circular, orders, official pronouncement or statements, resolutions, memoranda for the President, policy briefs/ notes, and other related issuances)

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Policy recommendations approved by the Secretary
- Computed as = (Total number of policy recommendations adopted by decision makers / Total number of policy recommendations prepared and reviewed) x 100

2021 target: 87%; Quarterly target: 87%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs concerned)

Note: A data field for reporting actual accomplishment relative to the *outcome indicator* “Percentage of policy recommendations adopted” is already incorporated in the table/matrix for reporting accomplishment relative to the output indicator “Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion”.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2

Percentage of agenda items related to the Plans for NEDA Board Committees where NEDA is the secretariat

Definition:

- This refers to the percentage share of Plan-related concerns’ inclusion as agenda items to the total number of agenda items developed by NEDA as Secretariat and adopted by the NEDA Board Committees (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP).
- Plans refer to the following, among others: Philippine Development Plan (PDP), Regional Development Plans (RDP), Area Spatial Development Framework (ASDF) plans, Regional Spatial Development Framework (RDSF) plans, National Physical Framework Plan (NPPF), National Priority Plan (NPP), and other plans that NEDA is tasked to prepare in accordance with pronouncements and priorities of the President, laws, rules and regulations, and executive issuances in the future.

Means of Verification:

- Analysis of the following documents: NEDA Board Committees’ resolution, minutes of meetings of NEDA Board Committees and its Other Committees (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP)

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
- Computed as = $(\text{Total number of Plan-related concerns included as agenda items} / \text{Total number of agenda items adopted by NEDA Board Committees}) \times 100$

2021 Target: 93%; Quarterly target: 93%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs)

LIST OF PLAN-RELATED CONCERNS INCLUDED AS AGENDA ITEMS	NAME OF NEDA BOARD COMMITTEE (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP) (e.g. SDC, INFRACOM)	DATE OF MEETING	ADOPTED BY NEDA BOARD COMMITTEES (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP) Y or N	REMARKS
1.				
2.				
3.				
TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAN-RELATED CONCERNS INCLUDED AS AGENDA ITEMS:			TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENDA ITEMS ADOPTED BY THE NEDA BOARD COMMITTEES, ETC =	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3

Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

- a. NEDA Board
- b. NEDA Board Committees:
 - 1. Social Development Committee
 - 2. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters
 - 3. National Land Use Committee
 - 4. Regional Development Committee
- c. Other Inter-Agency Committees
- d. Regional Development Councils

Definition:

- This refers to the average satisfaction rating of members of NEDA Board, Social Development Committee (SDC), Committee on Tariff and Related Matters (CTRM), National Land Use Committee (NLUC), Infrastructure Committee (INFRACOM), Regional Development Committee (RDCom), other Inter-Agency-Committees (IACs), and Regional Development Councils (RDCs) with the completeness and accuracy of meeting documents prepared by the NEDA Secretariat.
- This indicator measures quality of NEDA’s technical secretariat services provided, specifically on setting the agenda and preparation of meeting documents (highlights of meetings, presentation materials, etcetera).

Means of verification:

- Accomplished surveys/ questionnaires / evaluation sheets

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reports during the next NEDA Board, SDC, INFRACOM, CTRM, NLUC, Other IAC, RDCom and RDC Meetings
- Computed as average of all client satisfaction rating of members per meeting

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
NEDA Board (c/o LS)	At least a 4/ 5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
NEDA Board Committees:			
1. Social Development Committee (c/o SDS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
2. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters (c/o TSIS)	At least a 2.5/5 or 50% (Satisfactory) average rating		
3. National Land Use Committee (c/o RDS)	At least a 4/ 5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
4. Regional Development Committee (c/o RDS)	At least a 4/ 5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
Other Inter-Agency Committees			
a. Philippine Council for Sustainable Development (c/o ANRES)	At least a 3.85/5 or 76% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
b. Mining Industry Coordinating Council (c/o ANRES)	At least a 3.85/5 or 76% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
c. MC-IHDC (c/o SDS)	At least a 3.85/5 or 76% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
d. IAC on Trade in Services (c/o TSIS)	At least a 3.85/5 or 76% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
e. EDC (c/o TSIS)	At least a 3.85/5 or 76% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
f. IAC-DA (c/o GovS)	At least a 3.85/5 or 76% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
g. FSC on Rice (c/o ANRES)	At least a 3.85/5 or 76% (Very		

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
	Satisfactory) average rating		
h. SC-IMD (c/o NPPS)	At least a 3.85/5 or 76% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
i. TWG-Macro (c/o NPPS)	At least a 3.85/5 or 76% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
j. TWG-EG	At least a 3.85/5 or 76% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
Regional Development Councils			
1. RDC CAR (c/o NRO CAR)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
2. RDC I (c/o NRO I)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
3. RDC II (c/o NRO II)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
4. RDC III (c/o NRO III)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
5. RDC IV-A (c/o NRO IV-A)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		RDC Full Council meeting will be conducted on March 11
6. RDC MIMAROPA (c/o NRO MIMAROPA)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
7. RDC V (c/o NRO V)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
8. RDC VI (c/o NRO VI)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
9. RDC VII (c/o NRO VII)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
10. RDC VIII (c/o NRO VIII)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
11. RDC IX (c/o NRO IX)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
12. RDC X (c/o NRO X)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
13. RDC XI (c/o NRO XI)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
14. RDC XII (c/o NRO XII)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
15. RDC XIII (c/o NRO XIII)	At least a 4.35/5 or 87% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL:	
--------------------------------	--

DATE OF MEETING	NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL MEMBER	SATISFACTION RATING GIVEN BY MEMBER	Remarks
February 4, 2021	Regional Project Monitoring Committee (RPMC)	4.50	Via Zoom videoconference
February 5, 2021	Sectoral Committee on Economic Development	4.65 (Excellet)	RDC Technical Budget Review was included in the agenda.
February 9, 2021	Sectoral Committee on Social Development/Social Protection (SCSD/SP)	4.73 (Excellent)	Via Zoom videoconference
February 10, 2021	Sectoral Committee on Macroeconomy and Development Administration	4.74 (Excellet)	Via Zoom videoconference
February 11, 2021	Sectoral Committee on Infrastructure Development (SCID)	4.57	Via Zoom videoconference
February 16, 2021	Regional Research and Innovation Committee	4.69 (Excellent)	Via Zoom videoconference
February 17, 2021	First Semester Sub-Committee on Culture (SCC)	4.61 (Excellent)	Via Zoom videoconference
February 26, 2021	Special Committee on Strengthening Academe-Industry Linkage Technical Working Group (TWG) Meeting	4.9 (Excellent)	2 nd TWG Meeting in preparation for the Academe-Industry Dialogue for Petrochemical industry on March 24
February 26, 2021	PSRs Coordination Meeting	4.98 (Excellent)	
	Count of Member: 181 SCSD/SP: 37 SCMDA: 32 RRIC: 28 SCS A-IL TWG: 28	Average Satisfaction Rating: 4.71 4.75 (Excellent)	

	SCSA-IL TWG: 8 PSRs: 17 RPMC: 7 SCID: 24		
--	---	--	--

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1

Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion

Definition:

- This refers to the proportion of total number of requests that were reviewed within seven (7) working days from date of receipt or within the date indicated by requesting party.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of conduct of short-term policy reviews. An increase of this indicator is a sign of progress on the provision of timely analyses of development issues and policy alternatives to decision-makers – the President, the Cabinet, and the Congress.
- Only those provided by NEDA in Congressional hearings, inter-agency meetings, board meetings, inter-agency committee meetings) should be the ones counted and not mere attendance to hearings or meetings.
- External requests are requests coming from government offices or entities outside NEDA. Internal requests are requests originating from within NEDA units.

Means of verification:

- NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine date of acceptance of request and release of response; and
- Document analysis

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
- Computed as = (Total number of policy recommendations prepared/ reviewed within required date and/or time of completion / Total number of policy recommendations prepared and reviewed) x 100

2021 target: 97%; Quarterly target: 97%
External Request (c/o all Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF POLICY REQUESTS (Specify the policies requested for review)	REQUESTING PARTY (Senate/ HOR/ NEDA Board Committees/ RDCs, etc.)	DATE RECEIVED BY NEDA	DEADLINE OF REQUEST	ACTUAL DATE OF SUBMISSION	SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY NEDA <i>*brief description only</i>	PREPARED/ REVIEWED WITHIN REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION (Y for Yes and N for No)	ADOPTED BY DECISION MAKERS (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/ reviewing policy requests within required date and/or time of completion / reason why policy recommendations were not adopted by decision makers</i>
1. Support to RDC-1 Reso. No. 90 on SB1268	RDC-1	January 4	not specified by the requesting party		Recommended for support by the RDC and inputs to make the Bill more inclusive of small farmers and to expedite the titling process	Y	Y (endorsed to RDC by the SCED on Feb 5)	
TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED AND REVIEWED:						TOTAL NO. OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN THE REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION = 1	TOTAL NO. OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS ADOPTED BY DECISION MAKERS =	

Internal Request (c/o all Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF POLICY REQUESTS (Specify the policies requested for review)	SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY NEDA UNIT	REQUESTING PARTY (NEDA Staffs/NROs, e.g. SDS, NRO 3, etc.)	PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/reviewing policy requests within required date and/or time of completion</i>
1. 2. 3.				
TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED AND REVIEWED:			TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN THE REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION:	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2

Number of plans prepared/updated and submitted within schedule to NEDA Board, RDCom, NLUC, RDCs, and/or Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning, respectively, for approval

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This refers to the count of planning documents prepared/ updated, and submitted within schedule to the NEDA Board, Regional Development Committee (RDCom), National Land Use Committee (NLUC), Regional Development Councils (RDCs), other NEDA Board Committees, and/or the Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning. This indicator measures the timeliness of preparation/ updating, and submission of planning documents that serve as guide in the formulation and implementation of policies, programs and projects. Plans refer to the following, among others: Philippine Development Plan (PDP), Regional Development Plans (RDP), Area Spatial Development Framework (ASDF) plans, Regional Spatial Development Framework (RDSF) plans, National Physical Framework Plan (NPPF), National Priority Plan (NPP), and other plans that NEDA is tasked to prepare in accordance with pronouncements and priorities of the President, laws, rules and regulations, and executive issuances in the future. <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analysis of the following documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NEDA Board resolutions RDC resolutions Minutes of meetings of NEDA Board and its Committees, and Technical Committee on the NPP, RDCs and its Committees Planning Guidelines and schedules for the preparation of plans

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2021 Target: 8 total (1 RDP, 2 RSDFs, 4 RPFs, 1 NPP)

NAME OF PLANNING DOCUMENT	TARGET NUMBER OF PLANS PREPARED/ UPDATED	PLANS PREPARED/UPDATED AND FINALIZED WITHIN SCHEDULE (Y for yes and N for no)	DATE OF SUBMISSION/PRESENTATION TO SECRETARY OF SOCIOECONOMIC PLANNING/NEDA BOARD/ RDCom/ NLUC/RDCs/ OTHER NEDA BOARD COMMITTEES	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not submitting/presenting the plans within schedule and/or provide status/updates on the preparation of the planning document</i>
1. Regional Development Plans (RDPs) (c/o NRO 5)	1 RDP by 4 th Quarter			
2. Regional Spatial Development Frameworks (RSDFs) (c/o NROs 11, 12)	2 RSDFs by 4 th Quarter			
3. Regional Physical Framework Plan (RFPF) (c/o NROs 1, 5, 8, 12)	4 RPFs by 4 th Quarter			
4. National Priority Plan (NPP) (c/o SDS)	1 NPP by 1 st Quarter			
TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS PREPARED: 8		TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS SUBMITTED/PRESENTED WITHIN SCHEDULE =		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3

Number of economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period

Definition:

- This refers to the count of the following economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period (i.e., month, quarter, semester, and/or year): (a) monthly report on Trade, (b) monthly report on Consumer Price Index, (c) monthly Integrated Survey of

Selected Industries, (e) quarterly report on National Accounts, (f) quarterly report on Labor and Employment, (g) annual report on Poverty Statistics.

- This indicator measures the timeliness dimension of preparation of economic reports. An increase of this indicator is a sign of progress on the provision of timely economic information.

Means of verification:

- NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine date of acceptance of request and release of response; and
- Document analysis

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Officer Performance Commitments.

2021 Target: 44

NAME OF ECONOMIC REPORT:	TARGET					ACCOMPLISHMENT					PREPARED AND SUBMITTED ON OR BEFORE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing the reports within the prescribed period</i>
	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
a. National Accounts (c/o NPPS)	4	1	1	1	1							
b. Trade (c/o TSIS)	12	3	3	3	3							
c. Consumer Price Index (c/o NPPS)	12	3	3	3	3							
d. Labor and Employment (c/o NPPS)	4	1	1	1	1							
e. Monthly Integrated Survey of Selected Industries (c/o TSIS)	12	3	3	3	3							
TOTAL NUMBER OF ECONOMIC REPORTS =	<u>44</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>						NUMBER OF ECONOMIC REPORTS PREPARED ON OR BEFORE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS=	

Details:

NAME OF REPORT	PERIOD COVERED	DATE OF COMPLETION OF REPORT	DATE OF RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS

NATIONAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMMING PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1

Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

- a. Investment Coordination Committee
- b. Infrastructure Committee
- c. Other Inter-Agency Committees

Definition:

- This refers to the average satisfaction rating of members of Investment Coordination Committee (ICC) and Infrastructure Committee (INFRACOM) with the completeness and accuracy of meeting documents prepared by NEDA Secretariat.
- This indicator measures quality of NEDA's technical secretariat services provided, specifically the preparation of meeting documents (memorandum, evaluation report, presentation materials, letters, minutes, among others).

Means of verification:

- Accomplished surveys/questionnaires/ evaluation sheets

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reporting during ICC and INFRACOM meetings

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
Investment Coordination Committee (c/o PIS)	At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating		
Infrastructure Committee (c/o IS)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
Other Inter-Agency Committees			
1. NPMC (c/o MES)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
2. Inter-agency Committee on Project Management and Monitoring (IACPMM)/ PIO System (c/o MES)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		
3. IATCP (c/o IS)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average		

	rating		
4. INFRACOM-SCWR (c/o IS)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE: _____

DATE OF MEETING	COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL MEMBER	RATING GIVEN BY MEMBER	Remarks
	Count of Members:	Average Satisfaction Rating:	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2

Percentage of programs and projects approved by the ICC included in the PIP

Definition:

- This pertains to the proportion of programs and projects approved by the ICC which are included in the Public Investment Program (document).
- This indicator measures the responsiveness of programs and projects to the priorities of Government. An increase of this indicator is a sign that programs and projects approved by the ICC are aligned with the priorities of the PDP/RM.
- Computed as = (Total number of programs and projects included in the PIP / Total number of programs and projects approved by the ICC) x 100

Means of verification:

- Analysis of the following documents:
- PIP document
- List of ICC-approved programs and projects

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reports during NEDA Board and ICC meetings
- Accomplishments integrated in the Office Performance Commitments
-

2021 target: At least 90%; Quarterly target: At least 90%

(c/o PIS)

PROGRAM/ PROJECT APPROVED BY THE ICC	DATE OF APPROVAL BY THE ICC	INCLUDED IN THE PIP (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for non-inclusion of project/s in the PIP</i>
1.			

2.		
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY THE ICC		TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY ICC THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THE PIP:

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1

Number of annual/medium-term public investment program documents prepared/updated and submitted every end of the year to the concerned inter-agency bodies for appropriate action

- a. PIP
- b. TRIP
- c. RDIP
- d. AIP

Definition:

- This pertains to the number of PIP documents prepared/updated every end of the year.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of formulation/ updating of PIP documents that serve as guide for efficient resource allocation toward priority public investments.

Means of Verification:

- Published PIP, CIIP, TRIP (i.e., printing and/or publication in the NEDA website)
- Posting of RDIP and AIP in the NRO website
- Analysis of the resolutions/ minutes of meetings of the following: NEDA Board, INFRACOM, and RDCs

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2021 target: 17

NAME OF PUBLIC INVESTMENT PROGRAM DOCUMENT	TARGET NUMBER OF PIP DOCUMENTS PREPARED	DOCUMENTS PREPARED/UPDATED AND SUBMITTED WITHIN SCHEDULE (Y for yes and N for no)	DATE OF SUBMISSION TO CONCERNED INTER-AGENCY BODIES	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/updating and submitting PIP documents within schedule</i>
1. Public Investment Program (PIP) (c/o PIS)	1 PIP by 2 nd Quarter			

2. Three-Year Rolling Infrastructure Program (c/o IS)	1 TRIP by 2 nd Quarter		
3. Regional Development Investment Programs (RDIPs) (c/o NROs)	15 draft updated RDIPs by 4 th Quarter		
TOTAL NUMBER OF PIP DOCUMENTS PREPARED: 17		TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS SUBMITTED/PRESENTED WITHIN THE YEAR =	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2

Percentage of projects appraised within target deadline

Definition:

- This pertains to the proportion of projects appraised within the prescribed process cycle time.

Means of verification:

- Analysis of the minutes of the meetings
- NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine dates of receipt of project proposal and release of project evaluation reports.

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2021 target: 90%; Quarterly target: 90%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF PROJECT*	PROPONENT AGENCY	LEAD EVALUATING STAFF/OFFICE	DATE OF RECEIPT OF PROJECT PROPOSAL	DATE OF COMPLETION OF APPRAISAL	APPRAISED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not evaluating projects within target deadline</i>
1.						
2.						
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECTS APPRAISED:					TOTAL NUMBER OF	

				PROJECTS APPRAISED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE:	
--	--	--	--	---	--

*Refers to projects with **complete submission of documents/information** from proponent agencies. Only report projects that **completed the appraisal** during the period.

NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1

Adoption of Socio-Economic Report (SER) as basis for Budget Priorities Framework

Definition:

- This refers to the adoption of SER for the preparation of the Budget Priorities Framework.
- This indicator measures the improvement of formulating budget priorities based on lessons learned from implementation of the Philippine Development plan.

Means of verification:

- Analysis of SER and Budget Priorities Framework

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Analysis of SER and Budget Priorities Framework

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ADOPTED AS BASIS FOR BUDGET PRIORITIES FRAMEWORK (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
Socio-Economic Report (SER) (c/o NPPS)	Updated PDP 2017-2022 adopted for the BPF by 3 rd Quarter*		

**No SER formulated in 2020 but assessment and strategies were included in the Updated PDP 2017-2022. The Updated PDP 2017-2022 will be adopted for the BPF*

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2

Percentage of requests for monitoring and evaluation (M&E) information for policy and decision-making made readily available to policy-makers and various stakeholders within prescribed period

Definition:

- This indicator measures the quality of NEDA’s M&E services, specifically the preparation of documents/ communications providing M&E information to policy makers and various stakeholders (e.g. OP, PSA, DOF, COA, Congress, researchers, academe, government implementing agencies, providers of development cooperation, etc.)
- Requests from other NEDA Staffs and Regional Offices should not be counted. Only those emanating from government entities should be included in the count.
- Computed as = (Total number of M&E Information made readily available to policy makers and various stakeholders within prescribed time / Total number of M&E requests) x 100
-

Means of verification:

- Document tracking system to determine date of receipt of request and release of response.

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the SPMS forms

2021 target: 100% of data requests provided per quarter

(c/o MES and NROs)

NAME OF REQUEST FOR M&E INFORMATION	REQUESTING PARTY (EXTERNAL)	DATE OF RECEIPT OF REQUEST	DATE OF RELEASE	MADE READILY AVAILABLE WITHIN PRESCRIBED TIME (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not providing M&E information within prescribed time</i>
1. Three Successful Projects with most beneficiaries from 2016-Feb. 2021	OP-PMS	Feb.	Feb. 16	Y	
2.					
TOTAL NUMBER OF M&E REQUESTS RECEIVED				TOTAL NUMBER M&E INFORMATION MADE READILY AVAILABLE TO POLICY-MAKERS AND VARIOUS STAKEHOLDERS WITHIN PRESCRIBED TIME = 1	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3

Percentage of agencies with problematic projects alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues

Definition:

- This indicator measures the assistance provided by NEDA to facilitate project implementation through the conduct of problem-solving sessions, one-on-one agency consultations, field validation visits, project steering committee meetings, project implementation reviews with development providers, and major fora such as regular PIO meetings, NPMC meetings, and RPMES fora.
- Computed as = (Total number of agencies alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues / Total number of agencies with problematic projects) x 100

Means of verification:

- Communications, follow-ups, field validation reports, Problem-Solving Sessions (PSS), and minutes of meetings with agencies

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the SPMS forms.
- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA.

2021 Target: 100% of agencies with problematic projects per quarter
 (c/o MES and NROs)

NAME OF AGENCY WITH PROBLEMATIC PROJECTS	NAME OF PROGRAM/PROJECT WITH PROBLEMS/ISSUES	ALERTED/ASSISTED TO HASTEN OR PUT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION BACK ON TRACK AND/OR TO ADDRESS IMPLEMENTATION (Y for yes and N for no)	NAME AND DATE OF MEETING/ FORA / CONSULTATIONS/ VISIT CONDUCTED (Specify)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not alerting/providing assistance to agencies with problematic projects</i>
1.				
2.				
TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENCIES WITH PROBLEMATIC PROJECTS:		TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENCIES ALERTED/ASSISTED TO HASTEN OR PUT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION BACK ON TRACK AND/OR TO ADDRESS IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES =		

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1

Number of socioeconomic assessment reports (SER and RDRs) prepared and released within schedule

- Socio-Economic Report (SER)
- Regional Development Report (RDR)

Definition:

- This refers to the number of socioeconomic assessment reports prepared and released within schedule.
- The annual SER serves as a tool in monitoring the achievement of the PDP targets. The RDR serves as a tool in monitoring the achievement of RDP objectives and targets.

Means of Verification:

- Published SER and guidelines
- Published RDRs

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Officer Performance Commitments.

2021 target: 16

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ACTUAL DATE OF RELEASE OF REPORT	REMARKS
a. 2020 Annual Regional Development Report (RDR) (c/o all NROs)	15 RDRs for 2020 prepared and released by 4 th Quarter		
b. 2021 Socioeconomic Report (SER) (c/o NPPS)	1 draft 2021 SER prepared by 4 th Quarter		
TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS PREPARED = 16	TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS PREPARED AND RELEASED WITHIN SCHEDULE=		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2

One (1) annual report on the performance of Official Development Assistance (ODA) portfolio prepared and submitted to Congress on or before June 30 annually

Definition:

- This refers to the timely submission to Congress of the outcome of the review of status of all projects financed by Official Development Assistance (ODA) as required by RA No. 8182.
- The following are the salient contents of the report: financial and physical performance of projects; project implementation issues; results (outputs and outcomes) derived from implementing programs and projects; status of recommendations made in previous reviews.

Means of Verification:

- Published ODA Portfolio Review Report

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ACTUAL DATE OF SUBMISSION	REMARKS
a. ODA Portfolio Review Report (c/o MES)	Prepared and submitted on or before June 30, 2021		
TOTAL = 1	TOTAL NUMBER OF REPORT PREPARED AND SUBMITTED ON OR BEFORE JUNE 30 =		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3

Percentage of programs/projects (i.e. ICC-approved programs/projects with complete requirements) re-evaluated within target deadline

Definition:

- This pertains to the re-evaluation of projects within target deadline.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of project re-evaluations carried out by the NEDA evaluating units.

Means of verification:

- Minutes of ICC meetings
- Document tracking system to determine the dates of receipt of agency requests for project restructuring (e.g. extension, change in scope, etc.) and release of project evaluation reports

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.


2021 Target: At least 90% of re-evaluation requests processed; Quarterly target: At least 90% of requests processed
(c/o all Staffs and NROs)

LIST OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED	PROPONENT AGENCY	LEAD EVALUATING STAFF/OFFCE	DATE OF RECEIPT OF AGENCY REQUEST FOR PROJECT RESTRUCTURING	DATE OF COMPLETION OF RE-EVALUATION	RE-EVALUATED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not re-evaluating projects within target deadline</i>
1.						
2.						
3.						
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED:					TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE:	

Prepared by:


MARLO E. CHAVEZ, March 2, 2021
 Signature over Printed Name/ Date

Approved by:


LUIS G. BANUA
 Regional Director